

1 Nick Heimlich (SBN 233232)
 Law Offices of Nicholas D. Heimlich
 2 900 Lafayette Street, Suite 509
 Santa Clara, CA 95050
 3 Tel: (408) 457-9364
 Fax: (408) 841-7630
 4 Email: nick@nickheimlichlaw.com

5 Attorney for Plaintiff,
 ALLEGRO CONSULTANTS, INC.

6 Nathan Benjamin (State Bar No. 197859)
 Law Offices of Nathan Benjamin
 7 340 Soquel Avenue, Suite 205
 Santa Cruz, CA 95062
 8 Tel: (831) 600-8405
 Fax: (831) 713-5677
 9 Email: Nathan@NathanBenjaminLaw.com

10 Eric K. Grinnell (Ohio State Bar No. 0089393 – *Appearing Pro Hac Vice*)
 Smith Marshall LLP
 11 815 Superior Ave., East, Suite 1425
 Cleveland, OH 44114
 12 Tel: (216) 781-4994
 Fax: (216) 781-9448
 13 Email: ekg@smithmarshall.com

14 Attorneys for Defendant,
 JOSEPH J. JASKO

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

17 ALLEGRO CONSULTANTS, INC., A Case No. 5:13-cv-02204

18 CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,
 19 Plaintiff,

20 vs.

21 WELLINGTON TECHNOLOGIES,
 22 INC., an Ohio Corporation, ED

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND TO
 CHANGE MOTION HEARING DATE AND
 PROPOSED ORDER**

23
 24 1/4 **STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT
 CONFERENCE AND TO CHANGE MOTION HEARING
 DATE AND PROPOSED ORDER**

1 GRIGLAK, an individual, JOSPEH J.
2 JASKO, an individual AND DOES 1-
3 100, INCLUSIVE;
4 Defendants.

7 Now come Plaintiff Allegro Consultants, Inc. (“Allegro” or “Plaintiff”) and Defendant
8 Joseph J. Jasko (“Jasko”) through their attorneys, and hereby stipulate to both continuing the
9 Case Management Conference that is currently scheduled for November 6, 2014 at 1:30 pm, and
10 moving up the Motion Hearing that is currently scheduled for March 26, 2015 at 9:00 am. If it is
11 in the best interests of the court, Plaintiff and Jasko are agreeable to having both the Case
12 Management Conference and the Motion Hearing on the same date.

13 Defendant Jasko currently has a 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss before the court. Plaintiff’s
14 Response to Jasko’s 12(b)(6) Motion is due on November 6, 2014, and Jasko’s Reply is due on
15 November 13, 2014. Thus, it would be in the best interests of all parties, and the court, to delay
16 the Case Management Conference until the briefing schedule is completed, and the 12(b)(6)
17 Motion has been ruled upon.

18 Accordingly, Plaintiff and Defendant Jasko respectfully request that the Case
19 Management Conference be continued, and the Motion Hearing date moved up, to a time
20 convenient to the court in the next four to six weeks.

21 Dated: November 4, 2014

22 /s/ Nathan Benjamin
Nathan Benjamin
23 Attorney for Defendant Joseph Jasko

1 Dated: November 4, 2014

/s/ Eric K. Grinnell
Eric K. Grinnell
Attorney for Defendant Joseph Jasko

2

3

Dated: November 4, 2014

/s/ Nick Heimlich
Nick Heimlich
Attorney for Plaintiff

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24


3/4 **STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE AND TO CHANGE MOTION HEARING
DATE AND PROPOSED ORDER**

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 The Court hereby finds good cause and orders that the Case Management Conference be
3 continued to _____, _____ at _____, and that the Motion Hearing date be
4 changed to _____, _____ at _____.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 Dated: _____, 2014.



8 BETH LABSON FREEMAN
9 United States District Judge