Halbert v. Lo	ng	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	LAWRENCE D. HALBERT, SR.,) No. C 13-2742 LHK (PR)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
12	VS.) EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWER OR RESPONSIVE MOTION
13	WARDEN DAVID LONG,))
14	Respondent.)) (Dealrot No. 5.)
15		(Docket No. 5.)
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed petition for writ of habeas corpus	
17	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On October 4, 2013, this court issued an order to show cause why	
18	the petition should not be granted and directed respondent to file an answer or responsive motion	
19	within sixty days. (Docket No. 4.) Respondent has filed a motion for an extension of time until	
20	February 3, 2014. (Docket No. 5.) Respondent's motion is GRANTED . Respondent shall file	
21	an answer or responsive motion no later than February 3, 2014. Petitioner may file a traverse	
22	within thirty (30) days of an answer being filed. He may file an opposition within thirty (28)	
23	days of the filing date of a responsive motion, if any.	
24	This order terminates docket number 5.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	La 11 Vol
26	DATED: <u>12/11/13</u>	LUCY H. KOH
27		United States District Judge
28		
	Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer or Responsive Motion	

Doc. 6