÷			
1 2	JOSEPH W. COTCHETT (SBN 36324; jcotchett@cpmlegal.com) PHILIP L. GREGORY (SBN 95217; pgregory@cpmlegal.com) FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. (SBN 37126; fdamrell@cpmlegal.com)		
3	STEVEN N. WILLIAMS (SBN 175489; swilliams@cpmlegal.com) ANNE MARIE MURPHY (SBN 202540; amurphy@cpmlegal.com)		
4	CAMILO ARTIGA-PURCELL (SBN 273229; cartigapurcell@cpmlegal.com) COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP		
5	840 Malcolm Road		
6	Burlingame, California 94010 Tel: (650) 697-6000 / Fax: (650) 692-3606		
7			
8	RICHARD DOYLE (SBN 88625; cao.main@sanjoseca.gov) NORA FRIMANN (SBN 93249; cao.main@sanjoseca.gov)		
9	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16 th Floor		
	San José, California 95113		
10	Tel: (408) 535-1900 / Fax: (408) 998-3131		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs the City of San José; the City of San José, as successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of		
12	San José; and the San José Diridon Developme		
13			
14	IN THE UNITED STATE	TES DISTRICT COURT	
15	FOR THE NORTHERN D	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN JOSÉ	É DIVISION	
. 17	CITY OF SAN JOSÉ; CITY OF SAN	Case No. 13-CV-02787-RMW	
18	JOSÉ AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF		
19	THE CITY OF SAN JOSÉ; and THE SAN	NOTICE OF APPEAL OF PLAINTIFFS	
20	JOSÉ DIRIDON DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,		
21	Plaintiffs,	Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte	
22	·	Complaint Filed: June 18, 2013	
23	V.	E SE ANGESTA PERM	
24	OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF BASEBALL, an unincorporated association	Figure 2 at the stand certify this is a printed copy of a	
25	doing business as Major League Baseball; and	document which was electronically filed with the United State District Court for the Northern District of California.	tes
26	ALLAN HUBER "BUD" SELIG,	Date Filed: 1/23/2014	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
27	Defendants.	RICHARD W. WIEKING, Clerk	
28		By:	rk
Law Offices COTCHETT, PITRE	NOTICE OF APPEAL OF PLAINTIFFS; Case 1		+ \$+
& McCarthy, LLP	HOTICE OF AFFEAR OF FLAINTIFFS; Case I	110. 13-CV-U2/8/-KIVI W	

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 3 and 4, to the extent it is appealable, Plaintiffs City of San José, City of San José as Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San José, and the San José Diridon Development Authority (collectively "Plaintiffs") appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit from (1) this Court's Judgment [Docket No. 52] (entered on January 3, 2014); and (2) this Court's Order Granting-In-Part and Denying-In-Part Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint Under Federal Rule Of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) [Docket No. 41]

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP

/s/ Philip L. Gregory PHILIP L. GREGORY

> Attorneys for Plaintiffs the City of San José; the City of San José, as successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San José; and the San José Diridon Development Authority

28