

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GERALDINE QUINTIN,)	No. C 13-2909 LHK (PR)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
)	
v.)	
)	
UNKNOWN,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	


On June 24, 2013, plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed a letter which commenced this action. The same day, the clerk notified plaintiff that she had not paid the filing fee, nor had she filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis (“IFP”). The clerk also notified plaintiff that she failed to submit a complaint. Along with the deficiency notices, plaintiff was provided with a new IFP application and instructions for completing it. Plaintiff was further cautioned that her failure to either file a completed IFP application or pay the filing fee within twenty-eight days would result in the dismissal of this action. In addition, plaintiff was advised that her failure to file a complaint within twenty-eight days would result in the dismissal of this action. To date, plaintiff has not paid her filing fee, filed a completed IFP application, or filed a complaint.

Thus, the instant action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The clerk shall enter judgment and close the file. The clerk is also directed to send an electronic copy of plaintiff’s original letter (docket no. 1), and a copy of this order to the courtroom deputy of Judge Thelton

1 Henderson.

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 DATED: 8/19/13



LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28