Sid Leach (Bar No. TX 12086400 & AZ 019 sleach@swlaw.com		9) SPENCER HOSIE (CA Bar No. 101777) shosie@hosielaw.com	
2	David E. Rogers (AZ 19274 pro hac vice) drogers@swlaw.com	DIANE S. RICE (CA Bar No. 118303)	
3	David G. Barker (AZ 024657 pro hac vice) dbarker@swlaw.com	drice@hosielaw.com DARRELL R. ATKINSON (CA Bar	
4	Jacob C. Jones (AZ 029971 pro hac vice)	No. 280564) datkinson@hosielaw.com HOSIE RICE LLP	
5	jcjones@swlaw.com SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.		
One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 1900		600 Montgomery Street, 34th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202 Telephone: 602.382.6000	(415) 247-6000 Tel.	
8	Facsimile: 602.382.6070	(415) 247-6001 Fax	
9	Attorneys for Defendant VENTURE CORPORATION AND	Attorneys for Plaintiff FITEQ, INC.	
10	CEBELIAN HOLDING PTE, LTD.		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
14			
15	FITEQ, INC.,	Case No. 13-cv-01946-EJD	
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
17	V.	ORDER REGARDING EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO	
18	VENTURE CORPORATION AND CEBELIAN HOLDING PTE, LTD.,	PLAINTIFF FITEQ, INC.'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	
19			
20	Defendant.		
21			
22	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12	, Plaintiff FiTeq, Inc. ("FiTeq" or "Plaintiff")	
23	and Defendants Venture Corporation ("Venture" of	or "Defendant") and Cebelian Holding Pte, Ltd.	
24	("Cebelian" or Defendant") hereby stipulate through their respective counsel of record as follows:		
	WHEREAS, on September 13, 2013, Plaintiff filed its Notice of Motion and Motion for		
25 26	Preliminary Injunction (hereafter, "Motion") requesting an Order to be entered requiring		
26	Defendant Venture to produce certain documentation;		
	WHEREAS, Ventures' Opposition to the Motion is currently due on or before September		
28 Snell & Wilmer	Stipulation and [Proposed]		
PHOENIX	Order Regarding Extension of Time to Respond	Case No. 13-CV-01946-EJD	
ı	-		

1	27, 2013;
2	WHEREAS, Venture has requested an extension of time as set forth below, the Parties
3	stipulate and agree that Venture's Opposition to FiTeq's Motion is due one week later than its
4	presently scheduled due date of September 27, 2013, i.e., by October 4, 2013, and FiTeq's Reply
5	Brief is due by October 11, 2013; and
6	WHEREAS FiTeq's agreement to the extension is conditioned upon there being no
7	extension of the date of hearing, so that the Parties stipulate that this change in the briefing
8	schedule shall not change the hearing date of October 18, 2013 at 9:00 a.m.;
9	WHEREAS, no trial date has yet been set in this action;
10	WHEREAS, the Parties have not requested nor received any previous extensions of time
11	related to the Motion;
12	WHEREAS, this extension will not affect or change the other dates currently scheduled
13	by the Court; and
14	NOW THEREFORE the Parties through their undersigned counsel hereby stipulate and
15	request that the Court grant, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, that Venture's time to oppose the
16	Motion is extended to October 4, 2013 and that FiTeq's time to file its reply brief is extended to
17	October 11, 2013, while the hearing date shall remain unchanged, on October 18, 2013.
18	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Stipulation and [Proposed]
!	

28 Order Regarding Extension of Time to Respond

1	Dated: September 17, 2013	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
2		By: /s/ David F Rogers
3		By: /s/ David E. Rogers Sid Leach David E. Rogers David G. Barker
4		David G. Barker Jacob C. Jones
5		Attorneys for Defendant
6		Venture Corporation and Cebelian Holding Pte, LTD.
7		
8		HOSIE RICE LLP
9		By: /s/ Spencer Hosie
10		By: /s/ Spencer Hosie Spencer Hosie Attorneys for FiTeq, Inc.
11		momeys for 1 rieq, me.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 mer	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Extension of Time to Respond	- 3 - Case No. 13-CV-01946-EJD

SNELL & WILMER ATTORNEYS AT LAW PHOENIX

1	<u>ATTESTATION</u>
2	I hereby attest pursuant to General Order 45.X.B. that concurrence in the electronic filing
3	of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.
4	Dated: September 17, 2013
5	
6	<u>/s/ David E. Rogers</u> David E. Rogers
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Stipulation and [Proposed]
28 ER	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Extension of Time to Respond Case No. 13-CV-01946-EJD

SNELL & WILMER ATTORNEYS AT LAW PHOENIX

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS ORDERED THAT (1) Defendants' time to
oppose FiTeq's Motion for Preliminary Injunction is extended to October 4, 2013, such that
Defendant will be deemed to have timely filed and served its opposition if filed and served by
October 4, 2013, (2) FiTeq's time to file its reply brief is extended to October 11, 2013, such that
FiTeq will have deemed to have timely filed and served its reply brief if filed and served by
October 11, 2013, and (3) the hearing date for the Motion shall remain on October 18, 2013 at
9:00 a.m.

Dated: September <u>18</u>, 2013

Honorable Edward J. Davila U.S. District Court Judge

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Extension of Time to Respond