

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5 FINISAR CORPORATION,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 NISTICA, INC.,

9 Defendant.

Case No. [13-cv-03345-BLF](#) (JSC)

**UNSEALING ORDER**

Re: Dkt. No. 181

10  
11  
12 On April 6, 2015, the Court granted in part Finisar's motion to strike Nistica's amended  
13 invalidity contentions without prejudice to allowing Nistica to file a formal motion seeking to  
14 establish good cause to amend. (Dkt. No. 181 at 15.) The Court filed the April 6 Order under seal  
15 because various matters referenced therein were filed under seal in this matter. (*Id.* at 17.)  
16 However, the Court noted that it was "not persuaded that any of the things discussed in th[e] Order  
17 are in fact confidential and properly sealable" and required the parties to inform the Court by no  
18 later than April 10 what information, if any, within the Order must remain sealed. (*Id.* at 17-18.)  
19 The Court advised the parties that "[f]ailure to file such a statement will result in unsealing of th[e]  
20 Order in its entirety." (*Id.*) The parties have failed to inform the Court what information needs to  
21 remain sealed, and the deadline to do so has long since passed. The Court notes that its Order  
22 contains no details of or references to the content of documents filed under seal. Accordingly, the  
23 Court orders that its April 6 Order be UNSEALED.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: April 21, 2015

26  
27   
28 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY  
United States Magistrate Judge