Campbell

Sec. 218

SUE CAMPBELL Attorney at Law, State Bar Number 98728 1155 North First Street, Suite 218 San Jose, California 95112 Phone: (408) 277-0648 3 (408) 938-1035 Fax: 4 Attorney for Plaintiffs 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CASE NO.: 13-CV-03857 HRL GERALD PFEIFFER AND WILLIAM T. BARROW, AS TRUSTEES OF THE 11 STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TIME FOR TORRES ELECTRIC, INC. **ELECTRICAL WORKERS LOCAL 332** A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION TO HEALTH AND WELFARE AND PENSION ANSWER TRUST FUNDS, NEBF, JEIF, NECA SERVICE CHARGE, NECA, DUES TO THE FILE ITS 13 COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED CHECK OFF AND APPRENTICESHIP ORDER 14 TRAINING TRUST FUNDS, 15 Plaintiffs, 16 VS. 17 ELECTRIC, INC., A California) TORRES Corporation 18 Defendant(s). 19 20 WHEREAS, Plaintiff's filed the Complaint for Money on Breach of Collective Bargaining 21 Agreement Violation of E.R.I.S.A. (hereinafter "the Complaint") against Defendant TORRES 22 ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation (hereinafter "TORRES") on August 20, 2013. 23 WHEREAS, TORRES was served with the Complaint on August 27, 2013. 24 WHEREAS, TORRES is not currently represented by counsel. 25 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendant TORRES have agreed to allow TORRES until 26 November 1, 2013 to file its answer to the Complaint. 27 28 Law Office of Suc STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER 1155 N. First St. No. San Jose, CA 95112

1	Therefore, it is hereby stipulated by and between the Parties, subject to the Court's
2	approval, that:
3	1. TORRES shall be given until and including November 1, 2013 to file an answer
4	or other response to the Complaint;
5	2. All other deadlines not specifically referenced herein, remain unchanged.
6	
7	Dated this 27 day of September 2013
8) C - (M &
9	SUE CAMPBELL
01	Attorney for Plaintiffs
11	
12	TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation
13	
14	
15	ORDER
16	Pursuant to the stipulation of Plaintiffs and Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A
16 17	Pursuant to the stipulation of Plaintiffs and Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation, and for good cause appearing,
17	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing,
17 [.] 18 [.]	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A
17 18 19	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation to file its answer to the complaint is extended to and including November
17 18 19 20	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation to file its answer to the complaint is extended to and including November 1, 2013. Dated: October 11, 2013
17 18 19 20 21	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation to file its answer to the complaint is extended to and including November 1, 2013.
17 18 19 20 21 22	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation to file its answer to the complaint is extended to and including November 1, 2013. Dated: October 11, 2013 MAGISTRATE JULGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation to file its answer to the complaint is extended to and including November 1, 2013. Dated: October 11, 2013 MAGISTRATE JULGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation to file its answer to the complaint is extended to and including November 1, 2013. Dated: October 11, 2013 MAGISTRATE JULGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation to file its answer to the complaint is extended to and including November 1, 2013. Dated: October 11, 2013 MAGISTRATE JULGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation to file its answer to the complaint is extended to and including November 1, 2013. Dated: October 11, 2013 MAGISTRATE JULGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	California Corporation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the time for Defendant TORRES ELECTRIC, INC., A California Corporation to file its answer to the complaint is extended to and including November 1, 2013. Dated: October 11, 2013 MAGISTRATE JULGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Ste. 218 San Jose, CA 95112