T¹ 1 1 1 1

		E-Filed: April 14, 2014
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	TERRY L. BAKER (SBN 214365) 820 Bay Avenue, Suite 230L Capitola, CA 95010 Tel: (831) 476-7900 Fax: (831) 476-7906 tbaker@consumerlawgroup.net Attorneys for Plaintiff TUSCANY INVESTMENTS LLC	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	TUSCANY INVESTMENTS LLC,	Case No. C 13-04185 HRL
11	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION
12		FOR RELIEF FROM CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER AND
13	vs. DAIMLER TRUCKS NORTH AMERICA	[PROPOSED] ORDER (Re: Dkt. No. 46)
14	LLC, a limited liability company; WINNEBAGO INDUSTRIES, INC.;	Date: May 6, 2014 Time: 10:00 a.m.
15	LIPPERT COMPONENTS, INC.,	Courtroom: 2
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	TO ALL APPEARING DEFENDANTS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF	
19	RECORD:	
20	NOTICE IS HEREBY THAT on May 6, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter	
21	as may be heard by the above-entitled Court, located at 280 South First Street, San Jose	
22	California 95113, in the courtroom of the Honorable Howard R. Lloyd, plaintiff will and	
23	hereby does move the Court for relief from the case management order.	
24	This motion is made on the grounds that plaintiff recently obtained leave to file a	
25	First Amended Complaint ("FAC") which added a new party, Lippert Components, Inc.	
26	("LCI"), to this action. LCI is an out of state defendant was served with the FAC by	
27	certified mail on March 27, 2014. As a result, LCI has not appeared, nor has it been	
28	defaulted. Currently, the fact discovery cutoff date is April 18, 2014, and is one day after	

1	LCI's time to respond to the FAC has run.	
2	This motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of	
3	Points and Authorities filed herewith, the pleadings and papers on file herein, and upon	
4	such other matters as may be presented to the Court at the time of the hearing.	
5		
6	Dated: March 30, 2014 Respectfully submitted,	
7		
8	/s/ Terry L. Baker TERRY L. BAKER	
9	Attorney for Plaintiff	
10	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
11	Plaintiff brings this motion for relief from the case management order pursuant to	
12	Local Rule 16-2(d).	
13	I. <u>Issue to Be Decided</u>	
14	The issue to be decided herein is whether plaintiff, and appearing defendants for	
15	that matter, should be relieved from the original case management order in this case.	
16	II. Facts Relevant to This Motion	
17	This action arises out of plaintiff's purchase of a recreational vehicle that was	
18	manufactured and warranted by defendants respectively. Plaintiff alleges that the vehicle	
19	has been, and is, defective. Defendants deny plaintiff's allegations.	
20		

Plaintiff originally brought this action against defendants Winnebago Industries 21 and Daimler Trucks North America alleging violations of various warranty laws. At the 22 Early Neutral Evaluation that occurred on March 3, 2014, plaintiff learned for the first 23 time that some of the issues it alleges the vehicle experienced were potentially warranted 24 by LCI separately, and not Winnebago or Daimler. 25

As a result, the parties entered into a stipulation granting plaintiff leave to file the 26 FAC and add LCI as a party. The Court issued an order granting plaintiff said leave and the FAC was filed on March 18, 2014. The Clerk issued a Summons as to LCI on March

27

28

19, 2014, and service on LCI commenced shortly thereafter. LCI's response date, if
 plaintiff's counsel's math is correct, is April 17, 2014. Currently, the fact discovery
 cutoff date is April 18, 2014.

4 III. <u>Argument</u>

Pursuant to L.R. 16-2(d), plaintiff and defendants request relief from the original
case management order for the reasons set forth in the above statement of facts.
Plaintiff's counsel has conferred with counsel for Winnebago and Daimler and neither
defendant opposes this request.

Plaintiff's counsel apologizes to the Court in advance for not including in this
motion a revised case management schedule. The reason is simply because there was not
enough time given plaintiff's counsel's schedule to meet and confer on a revised plan.
Additionally, because LCI has not appeared in the case, attempting to coordinate a revised
case management schedule might result in a futile task.

Plaintiff requests that the Court set a further case management conference
sometime in early May so that LCI can appear and the parties can further discuss ADR
options and visit settlement discussions.

17 IV. Conclusion

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

///

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant this
motion, relieve the parties from the case management order, and set a further case
management conference some time in May of 2014.

21 Dated: March 30, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Terry L. Baker TERRY L. BAKER Attorney for Plaintiff

1	PROPOSED ORDER	
2	Good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff's motion is	
3	GRANTED. All prior ordered dates are hereby vacated and a further case management	
4	conference will be held on <u>May 6, 2014 at 1:30 pm</u> . The parties' joint case management statement will be due April 29, 2014.	
5	statement will be due April 29, 2014.	
6	This matter is deemed suitable for hearing without oral argument. Accordingly, the hearing set for April 15, 2015, is hereby vacated.	
7	Tor April 15, 2015, is hereby vacaled.	
8		
9	Dated: April 14, 2014	
10	UNITED STOTES MAGISTRATE JUDGE HOWARD R. LLOYD	
11	HOWARD R. LLOTD	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
27 28		
20		
	Tuscany Investments, LLC v. Daimler Trucks, et 4.Motion for Relief	