1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	JONATHAN CARDENAS,	No. C 13-4199 LHK (PR)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
12	VS.	EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE AN  ANSWER
13	vo.	) ANSWER
14	GARY SWARTHOUT, Warden,	
15	Respondent.	() (Docket No. 5)
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> , filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The court issued an order to show cause why the petition should	
19	not be granted. (Docket No. 2.) On April 18, 2014, respondent filed a motion for enlargement	
20	of time to file an answer. (Docket No. 5.) On May 2, 2014, respondent filed a response to the	
21	court's order to show case. (Docket No. 6.)	
22	Respondent's motion for an extension of time to file an answer is <b>GRANTED</b> .	
23	Respondent's response filed May 2, 2014, is deemed timely filed.	
24	This order terminates docket number 5.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Lucy H. Koh
26	DATED: <u>7/16/14</u>	LUCY H. KOH
27		United States District Judge
28		
	Order Granting Motion for Ext. of Time to File an Answer or Dispo. Mot. P:\PRO-SE\LHK\HC.13\Cardenas199eot-answer-timely.wpd	

Cardenas v. Swarthout

Doc. 15