1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION
10	THOMAS E. PEREZ, Secretary of Labor, CASE NO. 5:13-cv-04208 EJD Department of Labor,
11 12	ORDER SETTING DEADLINE FOR Plaintiff(s), COMPLETION OF DISCOVERY; V. REFERRING DISCOVERY DISPUTES TO
13 14	STANFORD YELLOW CAB TAXI, INC., et. al.,  THE ASSIGNED MAGISTRATE JUDGE  THE ASSIGNED MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	Defendant(s).
16	
17	Since it now appears that such a deadline will be necessary, the court orders that all
18	discovery in this action shall be completed on or before <b>February 12, 2014.</b> In addition, all

disputes with respect to discovery or disclosure are hereby referred to the assigned magistrate judge.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22

20

23 Dated: January 30, 2014

24

25

26

27

28

<sup>1</sup> Although this case was set for a Case Management Conference on January 17, 2014, neither party filed a case management statement by January 10, 2014, even though they were ordered to do so. See Docket Item No. 4. Accordingly, the court assumed a deadline for the completion of discovery was unnecessary. That assumption was apparently incorrect.

United States District Judge

CASE NO. 5:13-cv-04208 EJD

ORDER SETTING DEADLINE FOR COMPLETION OF DISCOVERY; REFERRING DISCOVERY DISPUTES TO THE ASSIGNED MAGISTRATE JUDGE