

1 LECLAIRRYAN
 2 Robert S. McWhorter (CA 226186)
 3 Kevin T. Collins (CA 185427)
 4 980 9th Street, 16th Floor
 5 Sacramento, CA 95814
 6 Telephone: 916.449.9690
 7 Facsimile: 916.449.9694
 8 robert.mcwhorter@leclairryan.com
 9 kevin.collins@leclairryan.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant
 11 JACK IN THE BOX INC.,
 12 a Delaware corporation, formerly known as Foodmaker, Inc.

13 MOHAJERIAN LAW CORP.
 14 Al Mohajerian (CA 182013)
 15 1925 Century Park East, Suite 350
 16 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 17 Telephone: 310.556.3800
 18 Facsimile: 310.556.3817
 19 Email: al@mohajerian.com

20 Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-Plaintiffs,
 21 DEEPAK MEHTA, an individual; KIRAN MEHTA,
 22 an individual; MEHTA ENTERPRISES, INC.,
 23 a suspended California corporation;
 24 DEEPAK ENTERPRISES INC., a California corporation

25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 26
 27 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

28 JACK IN THE BOX INC., a Delaware
 29 corporation, formerly known as Foodmaker,
 30 Inc.,
 31
 32 Plaintiff,
 33
 34 vs.
 35 DEEPAK MEHTA, an individual; KIRAN
 36 MEHTA, an individual; MEHTA
 37 ENTERPRISES, INC., a suspended California
 38 corporation; DEEPAK ENTERPRISES INC.,
 39 a California corporation,
 40
 41 Defendants.
 42 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM.

Case No: 5:13-cv-04444-EJD
**STIPULATION AND ORDER
 REQUESTING CASE MANAGEMENT
 CONFERENCE**
 Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila
 Date Action Filed: September 25, 2013
 Trial Date: None Set

1 **STIPULATION AND ORDER REQUESTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

2 Defendants and Counter-Plaintiff s Deepak Mehta, Kiran Mehta, Mehta Enterprises, Inc.,
3 and Deepak Enterprises, Inc. (collectively “Defendants” or the “Mehtas”), and Plaintiff and
4 Counter-Defendant Jack in the Box Inc. (“JIB”) (collectively, the “Parties”), stipulate as follows:

5 1. On April 23, 2015 JIB filed a Motion For Summary Judgment And/Or Partial
6 Summary Judgment (the “Summary Judgment Motion”), which was heard by this Court on
7 May 28, 2015. (Docket Nos. 205, 248).

8 2. This Court has not yet issued a ruling on the Summary Judgment Motion, which –
9 if granted – would resolve all or part of the Parties’ claims in this case. If not granted, the Parties
10 would need to prepare for trial. The uncertainty surrounding this Court’s ruling on the Summary
11 Judgment Motion places the underlying restaurants in an indeterminate status and adversely
12 impacts both Parties because the Parties do not have an adjudication of their rights, if any, under
13 the Franchise and Lease Agreements. Moreover, the nature, scope and direction of any
14 settlement discussions between the Parties will be impacted significantly by this Court’s ruling.
15 As a result, the Parties placed their settlement discussions (as well as their completion of
16 discovery) on hold pending a ruling on the Summary Judgment Motion.

17 3. To allow the Parties to make the necessary preparations going forward with
18 respect to the underlying restaurants, settlement, and other issues, the Parties request that this
19 Court provide some guidance as to when it will rule on the Summary Judgment Motion.
20 Pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 and 7-12, the Parties request that this Court schedule a Case
21 Management Conference so that the Parties can discuss the status of this case. Because counsel
22 for both parties practice outside of the Northern District of California, the Parties request that
23 they be permitted to appear at the Case Management Conference by telephone.

1 **STIPULATED AND AGREED TO:**

2 Dated: March 9, 2016

MOHAJERIAN A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION

3 By: /s/ Al Mohajerian

4 Al Mohajerian

Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-Plaintiff
5 Deepak Mehta, Kiran Mehta, Mehta Enterprises, Inc., and
6 Deepak Enterprises, Inc.

7 Dated: March 9, 2016

LECLAIRRYAN LLP

8 By: /s/ Robert S. McWhorter

9 Robert S. McWhorter

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant
10 JACK IN THE BOX INC., a Delaware corporation,
11 formerly known as Foodmaker, Inc.

12
13
14 **ORDER**

15 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT this Court shall
16 conduct a Case Management Conference on June 23, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. . ~~The Parties shall be~~
17 ~~permitted to appear at the Case Management Conference by telephone.~~

18 Dated: May 17, 2016

19 
U.S. District Court Judge