

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

E-Filed: March 11, 2014

NOT FOR CITATION
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

FAREED SEPEHRY-FARD,

Plaintiff,

v.

GREENPOINT MORTGAGE FUNDING,
INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.

No. C13-04535-EJD (HRL)

**ORDER TERMINATING *EX PARTE*
MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENAS**

[Re: Docket No. 114]

In this quiet title action, Plaintiff Fareed Sepehry-Fard served Defendant Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc. (“Greenpoint”) with three subpoenas on February 21, 2014, which command Lynn Graham, a Greenpoint Vice President in Texas, to appear in California on March 18, 2014, to produce documents, testify at a deposition, and testify at a hearing. On March 7, 2014, defendant Greenpoint and non-party Graham (making a special, limited appearance) moved *ex parte* to quash the subpoenas.

All discovery matters having been referred to the undersigned for disposition, the parties are required to comply with the undersigned’s Standing Order re: Civil Discovery Disputes (“Standing Order”). Moreover, in a January 2014 order denying Plaintiff’s motion for expedited discovery, the Court clearly stated that “in the event discovery disputes arise, the parties shall comply with the undersigned’s Standing Order re: Civil Discovery Disputes.” *See* Dkt. 75. The Standing Order expressly provides that “[a]bsent leave of court, formal noticed discovery motions may no longer be


United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 filed and, if filed contrary to this order, will not be heard.” Generally, the parties may seek judicial
2 intervention only after an in-person meeting between lead counsel fails to resolve the dispute, in
3 which case the parties shall file a Discovery Dispute Joint Report (“DDJR”).

4 Accordingly, the motion is terminated without prejudice to resubmit the matter for the
5 Court’s consideration in compliance with the undersigned’s Standing Order. The parties shall have
6 10 days from the date of this order to meet and confer and, if necessary, file a DDJR. The date set
7 for compliance with the subpoenas shall be stayed during this time and pending judicial resolution
8 of the DDJR, if filed.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 Dated: March 11, 2014

11 
12 _____
13 HOWARD R. LLOYD
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **C13-04535 Notice will be electronically mailed to:**

2 Andrew Alexander Wood aaw@severson.com, jwg@severson.com, sg@severson.com

3 Anne Pellegrom Daher annie.daher@kyl.com

4 Helen Dan-Hwei Hsueh helen.hsueh@kyl.com, anthony.levintow@kyl.com

5 Joseph W. Guzzetta jwg@severson.com, ipk@severson.com, jc@severson.com

6 Philip Alan McLeod philip.mcleod@kyl.com, maricel.schilt@kyl.com

7 **C13-04535 Notice will be mailed to:**

8 Fareed Sepehry-Fard
12309 Saratoga Creek Drive
9 Saratoga, CA 95070

10 **Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not**
11 **registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.**

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28