Broussard v.	. Charvat, et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	CUDI EM IOTINI DDOLIGGA DD. ID.	N C 12 4070 LUIZ (DD)
12	CURLEY JOHN BROUSSARD, JR.,	No. C 13-4878 LHK (PR)
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING PENDING OMETIONS
14	v. SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, et al.,	) )
15	Defendants.	) )
16	——————————————————————————————————————	)
17	Plaintiff, a California state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a prisoner's civil rights	
18	action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 6, 2013, the court dismissed the case and entered	
19	judgment because plaintiff failed to timely pay the filing fee or file a motion for leave to proceed	
20	in forma pauperis.	
21	On December 23, 2013, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of	
22	Appeals. On January 2, 2014, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals assigned a case number to	
23	plaintiff's appeal and set a briefing schedule. On January 6, 2014, plaintiff filed a motion for	
24	reconsideration in this court. On March 26, 2014, plaintiff filed a motion for transcripts to be	
25	paid at the government's expense.	
26	Once plaintiff files a notice of appeal, "the rule has generally been stated that the filing of	
27	a notice of appeal divests the district court of jurisdiction to dispose of the motion after an appeal	
28	has been taken, without a remand from this court." Scott v. Younger, 739 F.2d 1464, 1466 (9th	
	Order Dismissing Pending Motions	
	P:\PRO-SE\LHK\CR.13\Broussard878postjud.wpd	
	.1	<b>—</b> 1

Doc. 26

Cir. 1984) (citation omitted). Thus, plaintiff's motions, filed after he noticed an appeal, are dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. No further filings will be accepted in this closed case. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: <u>4/12/14</u> United States District Judge 

Order of Dismissal P:\PRO-SE\LHK\CR.13\Broussard878postjud.wpd