

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	However, "while protecting the public's interest in access to the courts, we must remain			
2	mindful of the parties' right to access those same courts upon terms which will not unduly harm			
3	their competitive interest." ⁴ Records attached to nondispositive motions therefore are not subject			
4	to the strong presumption of access. ⁵ Because the documents attached to nondispositive motions			
5	"are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action," parties moving			
6	to seal must meet the lower "good cause" standard of Rule 26(c). ⁶ As with dispositive motions, the			
7	standard applicable to nondispositive motions requires a "particularized showing" ⁷ that "specific			
8	prejudice or harm will result" if the information is disclosed. ⁸ "Broad allegations of harm,			
9	unsubstantiated by specific examples of articulated reasoning" will not suffice. ⁹ A protective order			
10 11				
11	sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court's previous determination that good			
12	cause exists to keep the documents sealed, ¹⁰ but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to			
13	designate confidential documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether			
15	each particular document should remain sealed. ¹¹			
16	In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal			
17	documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to			
18	Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document			
19	⁴ Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 727 F.3d 1214, 1228-29 (Fed. Cir. 2013).			
20	⁵ See id. at 1180.			
21	⁶ <i>Id.</i> at 1179 (internal quotations and citations omitted).			
22	⁷ Id.			
23	⁸ Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).			
24	⁹ Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co., 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).			
25 26	¹⁰ See Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179-80.			
26 27	¹¹ See Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) ("Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to			
27	designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are sealable.").			
	2			
	Case No. 5:13-cv-05058-LHK ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO SEAL			

is "sealable," or "privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." "The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d)."¹² "Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable."¹³

With these standards in mind, the courts rules on the instant motions as follows:

Motion to Seal	Document to be Sealed	Result	Reason/Explanation
132	Motion for Extension of Time	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Khachatourian Declaration	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit A	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit B	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit C	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit D	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit E	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business

¹² Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the submitting party to attach a "proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material" which "lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed," Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an "unredacted version of the document" that indicates "by highlighting or other clear method, the portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version." Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d).

Case No. 5:13-cv-05058-LHK ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO SEAL

¹³ Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).

			information.
132	Exhibit F	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit G	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit H	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit I	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit J	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit K	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit L	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Exhibit M	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
132	Motion for Expedited Briefing	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
137	Opposition to NetApp's Motion	Designations highlighted in yellow at Docket No. 137-4 SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 27, 2015

27 28

24

25

26

ρ GREWAL

United States Magistrate Judge

Case No. 5:13-cv-05058-LHK ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO SEAL

United States District Court For the Northern District of California