1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
7		
8	JOSE LUIS MADRIZ, et al.,	Case No. <u>5:13-cv-05096-EJD</u>
9	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DEFERRING RULING ON
10	V.	DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO ASSIGN CASE TO
11	KING CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,	COURT'S ADR PROGRAM
12	Defendants.	Re: Dkt. No. 99
13	Presently before the court is Defendants County of Monterey, et al.'s ("County of	
14	Monterey") Administrative Motion to Assign Case to Court's ADR Program. See Dkt. No. 99.	
15	County of Monterey seeks a court order referring this case to the court's ADR program for the	
16	purposes of scheduling and conducting a mediation. <u>Id.</u> at 4.	
17	Given the circumstances, it is appropriate for the parties to participate in an ADR phone	
18	conference to determine the best course of action. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the parties	
19	shall file the form entitled "Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference" within 10 days of the	
20	date of this Order. After completing the ADR phone conference, the parties shall file a brief	
21	report informing the court of their course of action. A ruling on the instant motion is DEFERRED	
22	until the parties inform the court of the results of the ADR phone conference.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: March 6, 2015	
25	Ell () Wash	
26	EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge	
27		1
28	Case No.: <u>5:13-cv-05096-EJD</u> ORDER DEFERRING RULING ON DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO ASSIGN CASE TO COURT'S ADR PROGRAM	
	Dockets.Justia.com	

United States District Court Northern District of California