Minkler v. Apple Inc Doc. 29

1	WOLFLICK & SIMPSON			
2	Gregory D. Wolflick (SBN 108699)			
3	130 North Brand Blvd., Suite 410 Glendale, California 91203			
4	818.243.8300 818.243.0122 – Facsimile			
5	Donald W. Stewart (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)			
6	J. Paul Lynn (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) STEWART & STEWART, P.C.			
7	P.O. Box 2274 Anniston, Alabama 36202			
8	(256) 237-9311 (256) 237-0713 – Facsimile			
9				
10	Counsel for Plaintiffs			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
14				
15	NANCY ROMINE MINKLER, Individually and on Behalf of All Others	CASE NO. 5:	13-cv-05332-EJD	
16	Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs,	REGARDING	ON AND [PROPOSEN] ORDER TIME TO FILE	
17	v.	AND REPLY	N TO MOTION TO DISMISS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION	
18 19	APPLE INC.,	TO DISMISS		
20	Defendant.	DATE:	JULY 18, 2014	
21		TIME:	9:00 A.M.	
22		COURTROO	M: 4	
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	EAST\74117615.1 STIPULATION AND [PRO	-1- POSED] ORDER REGARI	DING TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION AND REPLY CASE NO. 5:13-CV-05332-EJD	

STIPULATION

Plaintiff Nancy Minkler ("Plaintiff") and defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate, subject to the approval of the Court, to enlarge the briefing deadlines pertaining to Apple's Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Local Rule 6-2. This Stipulation is made with reference to the following facts:

WHEREAS Apple's Motion to Dismiss was filed on March 3, 2014 and is set for hearing on July 18, 2014 (which was the first date available on the Court's calendar);

WHEREAS Plaintiff's opposition papers were due on March 17, 2014, but through an inadvertent clerical error in calendaring, Plaintiff did not file her opposition papers on that date, and the opposition still has not yet been filed;

WHEREAS Apple does not oppose relief for Plaintiff from the failure to timely file her opposition to the Motion to Dismiss and agrees with the extended briefing schedule proposed in this Stipulation;

WHEREAS the extended briefing schedule proposed herein should not interfere with the presently scheduled July 18, 2014 hearing date and should not impinge on the Court's time to prepare for that hearing;

WHEREAS, due to scheduling conflicts in other cases and personal commitments,
Plaintiff's counsel is not reasonably able to file Plaintiff's opposition to the Motion to Dismiss
before April 3, 2014;

WHEREAS the parties' request a brief enlargement of time to submit the Response and Reply briefs to April 3rd and April 30th, respectively;

WHEREAS the parties have agreed to one prior time modification in this case, extending defendant Apple Inc.'s time to file its response to the Complaint [D.E. No. 23]; and

WHEREAS in consideration of the July 18, 2014 hearing date, the parties do not believe this time modification will have any effect on the schedule of the case.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, subject to the approval of the Court, that Plaintiff's date to file her opposition to Apple's Motion to Dismiss is extended to and including April 3, 2014,

1	and Apple 's date to file i	its reply brief in support of its Motion to Dismiss is extended to and
2	including April 30, 2014.	
3		
4		
5	Dated: March 25, 2014	STEWART & STEWART, P.C.
6		By: /s/ Joseph Paul Lynn
7		JOSEPH PAUL LYNN Counsel for Plaintiff Nancy Romine Minkler
8		
9	Dated: March 25, 2014	DLA PIPER LLP (US)
10		Pre /o/ Iocaph Calling
11		By: /s/ Joseph Collins JOSEPH COLLINS Counsel for Defendent Apple Inc.
12		Counsel for Defendant Apple Inc.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	EAST\74117615.1	-3- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION AND REPLY

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN FILING Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the filer hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from JOSEPH COLLINS, which shall serve in lieu of his signature on the document. Dated: March 25, 2014 STEWART & STEWART, P.C. By: /s/ Joseph Paul Lynn JOSEPH PAUL LYNN Counsel for Plaintiff Nancy Romine Minkler

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based on the foregoing Stipulation of plaintiff Nancy Minkler and defendant Apple Inc., and good cause appearing therefor, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- 1. Plaintiff Nancy Minkler's date to file her opposition to defendant Apple Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss is extended to and including April 3, 2014.
- **2.** Defendant Apple Inc.'s date to file its reply brief in support of its Motion to Dismiss is extended to and including April 30, 2014.

Dated: March 26 , 2014.

EQU Jul

Honorable Edward J. Davila United States District Judge