

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2434

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -27)

On April 8, 2013, the Panel transferred 7 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 938 F.Supp.2d 1355 (J.P.M.L. 2013). Since that time, 282 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of New York. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of New York and assigned to Judge Seibel.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of New York for the reasons stated in the order of April 8, 2013, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:




Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

Dec 24, 2013

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

A CERTIFIED COPY
RUBY J. KRAJICK, CLERK

BY 
Deputy Clerk

**IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2434

SCHEDULE CTO-27 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARIZONA			
AZ	2	13-02430	Richardson v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Incorporated
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	5	13-05494	Goddard et al v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	2	13-00799	Newton v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
KANSAS			
KS	6	13-01447	Dewey v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	13-03239	Clark v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc.
MN	0	13-03245	Keller v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc.
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN			
MSS	1	13-00452	Freret v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	2	13-07346	BONANNO v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. et al
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN			
PAE	2	13-05696	TORRES v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
PAE	2	13-05697	MARQUEZ et al v. BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

PAE 2 13-05698 MONTIFORTE v. BAYER HEALTHCARE
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

SOUTH CAROLINA

SC 7 13-03069 Vinson et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc

TENNESSEE EASTERN

TNE 1 13-00392 Davis v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc.

TEXAS NORTHERN

TXN 3 13-04687 Tidwell v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc

VIRGINIA EASTERN

VAE 4 13-00107 Moore v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

WASHINGTON WESTERN

WAW 3 13-06034 Lewis v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al

WISCONSIN EASTERN

WIE 2 13-01259 Schultz et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc