# **EXHIBIT E**

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

#### **DEFENDANTS.**

## PLAINTIFFS ROCKSTAR CONSORTIUM US LP AND MOBILESTAR TECHNOLOGIES LLC'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Rockstar Consortium US LP ("Rockstar") and MobileStar Technologies LLC ("MobileStar") file this Original Complaint for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271 and in support thereof would respectfully show the Court the following:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff Rockstar Consortium US LP ("Rockstar") is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at Legacy Town Center 1, 7160 North Dallas Parkway Suite No. 250, Plano, TX 75024.
- 2. Plaintiff MobileStar Technologies LLC ("MobileStar") is a subsidiary of Rockstar and is a limited liability corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at Legacy Town Center 1, 7160 North Dallas Parkway Suite No. 250, Plano, TX 75024.

- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Pantech Co., Ltd. is a Korean company with its principal place of business at Pantech R&D Center, I-2 DMC Sangamdong, Mapo-gu, Seoul, 415865, South Korea.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Pantech Wireless, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia with its principal place of business at 5607 Glenridge Drive, Suite 500, Atlanta, Georgia 30342.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This is an action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. § 1338.
  - 6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants Pantech Co., Ltd. and Pantech Wireless, Inc. (collectively, "Pantech"). Pantech has conducted and does conduct business within the State of Texas. Pantech, directly or through subsidiaries or intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and advertises (including the provision of an interactive web page) its products (including its infringing products) and/or services in the United States, the State of Texas, and the Eastern District of Texas. Pantech, directly and through subsidiaries or intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), has purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more of its infringing products and/or services, as described below, into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased and used by consumers in the Eastern District of Texas. These infringing products and/or services have been and continue to be purchased and used by consumers in the Eastern

District of Texas. Pantech has committed acts of patent infringement within the State of Texas and, more particularly, within the Eastern District of Texas.

#### **ASSERTED PATENTS**

- 8. On March 14, 2000, U.S. Patent No. 6,037,937 ("the '937 Patent") entitled "Navigation Tool for Graphical User Interface" was duly and legally issued with Brian Finlay Beaton, Colin Donald Smith, and Bruce Dale Stalkie as the named inventors after full and fair examination. MobileStar owns all rights, title, and interest in and to the '937 Patent and possesses all rights of recovery under the '937 Patent.
- 9. On October 3, 2000, U.S. Patent No. 6,128,298 ("the '298 Patent") entitled "Internet Protocol Filter" was duly and legally issued with Bruce Anthony Wootton and William G. Colvin as the named inventors after full and fair examination. Rockstar owns all rights, title, and interest in and to the '298 Patent and possesses all rights of recovery under the '298 Patent. MobileStar is the exclusive licensee of the '298 patent.
- 10. On December 25, 2001, U.S. Patent No. 6,333,973 ("the '973 Patent") entitled "Integrated Message Center" was duly and legally issued with Colin Donald Smith and Brian Finlay Beaton as the named inventors after full and fair examination. MobileStar owns all rights, title, and interest in and to the '973 Patent and possesses all rights of recovery under the '973 Patent.
- 11. On October 8, 2002, U.S. Patent No. 6,463,131 ("the '131 Patent") entitled "System and Method for Notifying a User of an Incoming Communication Event" was duly and legally issued with Marilyn French-St. George, Mitch A. Brisebois and Laura A. Mahan as the named inventors after full and fair examination. MobileStar

owns all rights, title, and interest in and to the '131 Patent and possesses all rights of recovery under the '131 Patent.

- 12. On July 20, 2004, U.S. Patent No. 6,765,591 ("the '591 Patent") entitled "Managing a Virtual Private Network" was duly and legally issued with Matthew W. Poisson, Melissa L. Desroches, and James M. Milillo as the named inventors after full and fair examination. MobileStar owns all rights, title, and interest in and to the '591 Patent and possesses all rights of recovery under the '591 Patent.
- 13. On August 30, 2005, U.S. Patent No. 6,937,572 ("the '572 Patent") entitled "Call Trace on a Packet Switched Network" was duly and legally issued with Brian B. Egan and Milos Vodsedalek as the named inventors after full and fair examination. MobileStar owns all rights, title, and interest in and to the '572 Patent and possesses all rights of recovery under the '572 Patent.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 14. Pantech has directly and indirectly infringed and continues to directly and indirectly infringe each of the '937, '298, '973, '131, '591, and '572 Patents by engaging in acts constituting infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b), (c), and/or (f), including but not necessarily limited to one or more of making, using, selling and offering to sell, in this District and elsewhere in the United States, and importing into this District and elsewhere in the United States, certain mobile communication devices having a version (or an adaption thereof) of Android operating system ("Pantech Mobile Communication Devices").
- 15. Pantech is doing business in the United States and, more particularly, in the Eastern District of Texas by making, using, selling, importing, and/or offering for sale

Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, including but not limited to Pantech's Perception, Discover, Flex, Marauder, Burst, and Pocket family of smart phones, and its Element family of tablets, and other products that infringe the patent claims involved in this action or by transacting other business in this District.

## COUNT ONE PATENT INFRINGEMENT BY PANTECH

- 16. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-16 as if fully set forth herein. As described below, Pantech has infringed and/or continues to infringe the '937, '298, '973, '131, '591, and '572 Patents.
- 17. At least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Gallery, Email, Maps and Browser functionality, infringe at least claim 13 of the '937 Patent. Pantech makes, uses, tests, sells, offers for sale, imports, exports, supplies and/or distributes within the United States these devices and thus directly infringes at least claim 13 of the '937 Patent.
- 18. Pantech indirectly infringes the '937 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers, of at least claim 13 in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech received actual notice of the '937 Patent at least by March 12, 2012 from a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 19. Pantech's affirmative acts of selling Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, causing the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to be manufactured, and

providing instruction manuals for Pantech Mobile Communication Devices induced Pantech's manufacturers and resellers to make or use the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '937 patent. Through its manufacture and sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, Pantech specifically intended its resellers and manufacturers to infringe the '937 patent; further, Pantech was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '937 patent. Pantech performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with knowledge of the '937 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.

- 20. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '937 patent in the United States because Pantech has knowledge of the '937 patent and actually induces others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe, by using, selling, exporting, supplying and/or distributing within the United States, Pantech Communication Devices for resale to others, such as resellers and end-use customers. Pantech knew or should have known that such actions would induce actual infringement.
- 21. The use of at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Gallery, Email, Maps and Browser functionality as intended by Pantech infringes at least method claim 1 of the '937 Patent. Pantech uses these products and thus directly infringes at least method claim 1 of the '937 Patent.

- 22. In addition, Pantech provides at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Gallery, Email, Maps, and Browser functionality to others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in the United States who, in turn, use these products to infringe at least method claim 1 of the '937 Patent.
- 23. Pantech indirectly infringes the '937 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech received actual notice of the '937 Patent at least by March 12, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 24. Pantech provides at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Gallery, Email, Maps and Browser functionality to others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in the United States who, in turn, use these products to infringe the '937 Patent. Through its manufacture and sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, Pantech specifically intended its resellers and manufacturers to infringe the '937 patent.
- 25. Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '937 Patent in the United States. For example, Pantech provides instructions to resellers and end-use customers regarding the use and operation of Pantech's products in an infringing way. Such instructions include at least "Pantech Burst User Guide" available on Pantech's web site at

http://www.pantechusa.com/phones/burst/#support. When resellers and end-use customers follow such instructions, they directly infringe the '937 Patent. Pantech knows that by providing such instructions, resellers and end-use customers follow those instructions, and directly infringe the '937 Patent. Pantech thus knows that its actions induce the infringement.

- 26. Pantech performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '937 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.
- 27. Pantech indirectly infringes the '937 patent, by contributing to infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech received actual notice of the '937 Patent at least by March 12, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 28. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices include functionality that, inter alia, displays a navigable graphical user interface ("navigable GUI") that permits a user to manipulate and control the contents of the display to maximize the use of display real estate. This navigable GUI is included in Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support at least the Gallery, Email, Maps, and Browser functionalities. On information and belief, these

functionalities cannot operate in an acceptable manner absent the navigable GUI, as it is included in every Pantech Mobile Communication Device.

- 29. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the navigable GUI as included in Pantech Mobile Communication Devices is especially made or especially adapted to operate on a Pantech Mobile Communication Device as a navigable GUI that permits a user to manipulate or control the contents of the display to maximize the use of display real estate on the user's Pantech Mobile Communication Devices.
- 30. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the navigable GUI as included in the Mobile Communication Device is not a staple article or commodity of commerce and that the use of the navigable GUI in Pantech Mobile Communication Devices is required for the operation of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Any other use would be unusual, far-fetched, illusory, impractical, occasional, aberrant, or experimental.
- 31. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with the navigable GUI are each a material part of the invention of the '937 patent and are especially made for the infringing manufacture, sale, and use of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with the navigable GUI are especially made or adapted as a navigable GUI that infringes the '937 patent. Because the sales and manufacture of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with a navigable GUI infringes the '937 patent, Pantech's sales of its infringing products have no substantial non-infringing uses.

- 32. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech offers to sell, or sells within the United States a component of a patented machine, manufacture, combination, or composition, or a material or apparatus for use in practicing the '937 patent, constituting a material part of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of such patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Pantech provides to others, Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with distinct and separate components, including software components, which have no substantial non-infringing use.
- 33. At least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality infringe at least claims 27 and 31 of the '298 Patent. Pantech makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, imports, exports, supplies and/or distributes within the United States these devices and thus directly infringes at least claims 27 and 31 of the '298 Patent.
- 34. Pantech indirectly infringes the '298 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers, of at least claims 27 and 31 in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech received actual notice of the '298 Patent at least by November 29, 2012 from a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 35. Pantech's affirmative acts of selling Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, causing the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to be manufactured, and

providing instruction manuals for Pantech Mobile Communication Devices induced Pantech's manufacturers and resellers to make or use the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '298 patent. Through its manufacture and sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, Pantech specifically intended its resellers and manufacturers to infringe the '298 patent; further, Pantech was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '298 patent. Pantech performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with knowledge of the '298 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.

- 36. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '298 patent in the United States because Pantech has knowledge of the '298 patent and actually induces others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe, by using, selling, exporting, supplying and/or distributing within the United States, Pantech Communication Devices for resale to others, such as resellers and end-use customers. Pantech knew or should have known that such actions would induce actual infringement.
- 37. The use of at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices that support the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality as intended by Pantech infringes at least method claims 14 and 24 of the '298 Patent. Pantech uses these products and thus directly infringes at least method claims 14 and 24 of the '298 Patent.
- 38. In addition, Pantech provides at least Pantech Mobile Communication

  Devices that support the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality to others, such as resellers

and end-use customers, in the United States who, in turn, use these products to infringe at least method claims 14 and 24 of the '298 Patent.

- 39. Pantech indirectly infringes the '298 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their intended use, including a customer's use of the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality. Pantech received actual notice of the '298 Patent at least by November 29, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 40. Pantech's affirmative acts of selling its Pantech Mobile Communication Devices and providing instruction manuals induced the end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to use Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '298 patent at least through using Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality. Pantech also provides instructions, including at least "Pantech Burst Guide" available site User on Pantech's web at http://www.pantechusa.com/phones/burst/#support for using the Portable WiFi Hotspot Through its sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with functionality. Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality, Pantech specifically intended the end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to infringe the '298 patent; further, Pantech was aware that the normal and customary use of Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality would infringe the '298 patent. Pantech also enticed its end-users to use the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality by providing instruction manuals and also providing Portable WiFi

Hotspot functionality. Pantech performed the acts that constituted induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '298 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.

- 41. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech actively induces infringement of the '298 Patent by others, such as resellers and end-use customers. Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '298 Patent in the United States because Pantech had knowledge of the '298 Patent, and Pantech actually induces infringement by providing instructions to resellers and end-use customers regarding the use and operation of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in an infringing way. Such instructions include at least "Pantech Burst User Guide" available on Pantech's web site at http://www.pantechusa.com/phones/burst/#support. When resellers and end-use customers follow such instructions, they directly infringe the '298 Patent. Pantech knows that by providing such instructions, resellers and end-use customers follow those instructions, and directly infringe the '298 Patent. Pantech thus knows that its actions induce the infringement.
- 42. Pantech indirectly infringes the '298 Patent by contributing to infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their intended use, including a customer's use of the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality. Pantech received actual notice of the '298 Patent at least by November 29, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar,

and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.

- 43. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality allow wireless devices from a first, or private, network to connect to a second, or public, network such as the Internet. The Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality is designed to route data packets between wireless devices tethered to the Portable WiFi Hotspot to nodes on a public network such as the Internet, and cannot function in a manner that does not utilize the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality available to Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Upon information and belief, the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality is designed to entice a user to access nodes in a second, or public, network such as the Internet.
- 44. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality is especially made or especially adapted to operate on a mobile communication device for providing access for wireless devices in a first, or private, network to nodes in a second, or public, network.
- 45. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality is not a staple article or commodity of commerce and that the use of the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices is for interfacing first and second data communications networks, e.g., a private network and a public network such as the Internet. Any other use would be unusual, far-fetched, illusory, impractical, occasional, aberrant, or experimental.

- 46. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality are each a material part of the '298 patent and especially made for the infringing use of the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality for interfacing private and public data communication networks. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with the Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality are especially made or adapted to provide access for wireless devices in a first, or private, network through the Mobile Communication Device, to nodes in a second, or public, network that perform or facilitate performance of the steps that infringe the '298 patent. Furthermore, Pantech provides user manuals describing the uses of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices that infringe the '298 patent. Because the sales and manufacture of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality infringes the '298 patent, Pantech's sales of its infringement products have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 47. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech offers to sell, or sells within the United States a component of a patented machine, manufacture, combination, or composition, or a material or apparatus for use in practicing a patented process, constituting a material part of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of such patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Pantech provides to others, Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Portable WiFi Hotspot functionality. Pantech installs and configures Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with distinct and separate components, including software components, which are used only to perform the infringing method claims.

- 48. At least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support an integrated notification message center functionality infringe at least claims 1 and 21 of the '973 Patent. Pantech makes, uses, sells, tests, uses, offers for sale, imports, exports, supplies and/or distributes within the United States these devices and thus directly infringes one or more claims of the '973 patent, including at least claims 1 and 21.
- 49. Pantech indirectly infringes the '973 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers, of at least claims 1 and 21 in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech received actual notice of the '973 Patent at least by March 12, 2012 from a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 50. Pantech's affirmative acts of selling Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, causing the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to be manufactured, and providing instruction manuals for Pantech Mobile Communication Devices induced Pantech's manufacturers and resellers to make or use the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '973 patent. Through its manufacture and sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, Pantech specifically intended its resellers and manufacturers to infringe the '973 patent; further, Pantech was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '973 patent. Pantech performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual

infringement, with knowledge of the '973 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.

- 51. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '973 patent in the United States because Pantech has knowledge of the '973 patent and actually induces others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe, by using, selling, exporting, supplying and/or distributing within the United States, Pantech Communication Devices for resale to others, such as resellers and end-use customers. Pantech knew or should have known that such actions would induce actual infringement.
- 52. The use of at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support an integrated notification message center functionality as intended by Pantech infringes at least method claim 8 of the '973 Patent. Pantech uses these devices within the United States and thus directly infringes one or more claims of the '973 patent, including at least claim 8.
- 53. Pantech indirectly infringes the '973 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech received actual notice of the '973 Patent at least by March 12, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.

- 54. Pantech provides at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support integrated notification message center functionality to others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in the United States who, in turn, use Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to infringe at least method claim 8 of the '973 Patent. Through its manufacture and sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, Pantech specifically intended its resellers and manufacturers to infringe the '973 patent.
- 55. Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '973 Patent in the United States. For example, Pantech provides instructions to resellers and end-use customers regarding the use and operation of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in an infringing way. Such instructions include at least "Pantech Burst User Guide" available on Pantech's web site at http://www.pantechusa.com/phones/burst/#support. When resellers and end-use customers follow such instructions, they directly infringe the '973 Patent. Pantech knows that by providing such instructions, resellers and end-use customers follow those instructions, and directly infringe the '973 Patent. Pantech thus knows that its actions induce the infringement.
- 56. Pantech performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '973 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.
- 57. Pantech indirectly infringes the '973 patent, by contributing to infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is

the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech received actual notice of the '973 Patent at least by March 12, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.

- 58. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices include functionality that, inter alia, displays an integrated notification message center contained in a single list. The notification message center is designed to provide a user with a single list of notifications regardless of the types of messages (e.g., email, text, etc) on the user's Mobile Communication Device. On information and belief, this functionality cannot operate in an acceptable manner absent the integrated notification message center, as it is included in every Pantech Mobile Communication Device.
- 59. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the integrated message center in Pantech Mobile Communication Devices is especially made or especially adapted to operate on a Pantech Mobile Communication Device as an integrated notification message center that provides a user with notifications concerning different types of messages on the user's Mobile Communication Device.
- 60. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the integrated notification message center in the Mobile Communication Device is not a staple article or commodity of commerce and that the use of the integrated notification message center in Pantech Mobile Communication Devices is required for operation of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Any other use would be unusual, far-fetched, illusory, impractical, occasional, aberrant, or experimental.

- 61. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with the integrated notification message center are each a material part of the invention of the '973 patent and are especially made for the infringing manufacture, sale, and use of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, including the integrated notification message center, are especially made or adapted as an integrated notification message center that infringes the '973 patent. Because the sales and manufacture of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an integrated notification message center infringes the '973 patent, Pantech's sales of its infringing products have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 62. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech offers to sell, or sells within the United States a component of a patented machine, manufacture, combination, or composition, or a material or apparatus for use in practicing a patented process, constituting a material part of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of such patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Pantech provides to others, Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with distinct and separate components, including software components, which have no substantial non-infringing use.
- 63. At least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Message and Notification functionality infringe at least claim 1 of the '131 Patent. Pantech makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, imports, exports, supplies and/or distributes within the United States these devices and thus directly infringes at least claim 1 of the '131 Patent.

- 64. Pantech indirectly infringes the '131 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers, of at least claim 1 in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech received actual notice of the '131 Patent at least by November 29, 2012 from a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- Devices, causing the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to be manufactured, and providing instruction manuals for Pantech Mobile Communication Devices induced Pantech's manufacturers and resellers to make or use the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '131 patent. Through its manufacture and sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, Pantech specifically intended its resellers and manufacturers to infringe the '131 patent; further, Pantech was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '131 patent. Pantech performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with knowledge of the '131 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.
- 66. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '131 patent in the United States because Pantech has knowledge of the '131 patent and actually induces others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe, by using, selling, exporting, supplying and/or distributing within the United States,

Pantech Communication Devices for resale to others, such as resellers and end-use customers. Pantech knew or should have known that such actions would induce actual infringement.

- 67. The use of at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Message and Notification functionality as intended by Pantech infringes at least method claim 5 of the '131 Patent. Pantech uses these products and thus directly infringes at least method claim 5 of the '131 Patent.
- 68. In addition, Pantech provides at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Message functionality to others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in the United States who, in turn, use these products to infringe at least method claim 5 of the '131 Patent.
- 69. Pantech indirectly infringes the '131 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their intended use, including a customer's use of the Message and Notifications functionality. Pantech received actual notice of the '131 Patent at least by November 29, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.

- 70. Pantech's affirmative acts of selling Pantech Mobile Communication Devices and providing instruction manuals induced the end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to use Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '131 patent at least through using Message and Notifications functionality. Pantech also provides instructions, including at least "Pantech **Burst** User Guide" available on Pantech's web site at http://www.pantechusa.com/phones/burst/#support, for using the Messaging Notifications functionality. Through its sales of Mobile Communication Devices with Messaging and Notifications functionality, Pantech specifically intended the end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to infringe the '131 patent; further, Pantech was aware that the normal and customary use of the Message and Notifications functionality would infringe the '131 patent. Pantech also entited its end-users to use the Messaging and Notifications functionality by providing instruction manuals. Pantech performed the acts that constituted induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '131 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.
- 71. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech actively induces infringement of the '131 Patent by others, such as resellers and end-use customers. Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '131 Patent in the United States because Pantech had knowledge of the '131 Patent, and Pantech actually induces infringement by providing instructions to resellers and end-use customers regarding the use and operation of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in an infringing way. Such instructions

include at least "Pantech Burst User Guide" available on Pantech's web site at http://www.pantechusa.com/phones/burst/#support. When resellers and end-use customers follow such instructions, they directly infringe the '131 Patent. Pantech knows that by providing such instructions, resellers and end-use customers follow those instructions, and directly infringe the '131 Patent. Pantech thus knows that its actions induce the infringement.

- 72. Pantech indirectly infringes the '131 Patent by contributing to infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their intended use, including a customer's use of the Messaging and Notification functionality. Pantech received actual notice of the '131 Patent at least by November 29, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 73. Pantech's Message and Notification functionality receives and displays message of different types, such as a phone call, voice mail, text message, or email. The Message and Notification Services functionality is designed to notify the user of an incoming communication and to select the format of the message received and cannot function in a manner that does not utilize the messaging functionality available to Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Upon information and belief, the Message and Notifications functionality is designed to entice a user to receive notifications of an incoming communication.

- 74. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the Message and Notifications functionality especially made or especially adapted to operate on Pantech Mobile Communication Devices for notifying a user of an incoming communication.
- 75. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the Message and Notifications functionality is not a staple article or commodity of commerce and that the use of the Messaging and Notifications functionality of the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices is for notifying a user of an incoming communication. Any other use would be unusual, far-fetched, illusory, impractical, occasional, aberrant, or experimental.
- 76. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with Messaging and Notifications functionality are each a material part of the '131 patent and especially made for the infringing use of the Messaging and Notification functionality to receive and display messages. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices including the Messaging and Notification functionality, are especially made or adapted to notify a user of an incoming communication that perform or facilitate performance of the steps that infringe the '131 patent. Furthermore, Pantech provides user manuals describing the uses of its Mobile Communication Devices that infringe the '131 patent. Because the functionality provided by Pantech's Messaging and Notification to notify a user of an incoming communication infringes the '131 patent, Pantech's sales of its infringing products have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 77. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech offers to sell, or sells within the United States a component of a patented machine, manufacture, combination,

or composition, or a material or apparatus for use in practicing a patented process, constituting a material part of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of such patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Pantech provides to others, Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Message and Notification functionality. Pantech installs and configures on these products distinct and separate components, including software components, which are used only to perform the infringing method claims.

- 78. At least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support VPN management functionality, including the Pantech Galaxy S III, infringe at least claims 1 and 8 of the '591 Patent. Pantech makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, imports, exports, supplies and/or distributes within the United States these devices and thus directly infringes at least claims 1 and 8 of the '591 Patent.
- 79. Pantech indirectly infringes the '591 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers, of at least claims 1 and 8 in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. Pantech received actual notice of the '591 Patent at least by November 29, 2012 from a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 80. Pantech's affirmative acts of selling Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, causing the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to be manufactured, and

providing instruction manuals for Pantech Mobile Communication Devices induced Pantech's manufacturers and resellers to make or use the Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '591 patent. Through its manufacture and sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices, Pantech specifically intended its resellers and manufacturers to infringe the '591 patent; further, Pantech was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '591 patent. Pantech performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with knowledge of the '591 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.

- 81. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '591 patent in the United States because Pantech has knowledge of the '591 patent and actually induces others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe, by using, selling, exporting, supplying and/or distributing within the United States, Pantech Communication Devices for resale to others, such as resellers and end-use customers. Pantech knew or should have known that such actions would induce actual infringement.
- 82. The use of at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support VPN management functionality as specified and intended by Pantech infringes at least claims 1 and 8 of the '591 Patent. Pantech uses these products and thus directly infringes at least claims 1 and 8 of the '591 Patent.

- 83. In addition, Pantech provides at least its Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support VPN management functionality to others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in the United States who, in turn, use these products to infringe at least claims 1 and 8 of the '591 Patent.
- 84. Pantech indirectly infringes the '591 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their intended use, including a customer's use of the VPN management functionality. Pantech received actual notice of the '591 Patent at least by November 29, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 85. Pantech's affirmative acts of selling its Mobile Communication Devices and providing instruction manuals induced the end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to use Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '591 patent at least through using VPN management functionality. Pantech also provides instructions, including at least "Pantech Element User Guide" available on Pantech's web site at http://www.pantechusa.com/tablets/element/#support, for using the VPN management functionality. Through its sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with VPN management functionality, Pantech specifically intended the end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to infringe the '591 patent; further, Pantech was aware that the

normal and customary use of VPN management functionality would infringe the '591 patent. Pantech also enticed its end-users to use the VPN management functionality by providing instruction manuals. Pantech performed the acts that constituted induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '591 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.

- 86. Accordingly, it is a reasonable inference that Pantech actively induces infringement of the '591 Patent by others, such as resellers and end-use customers. Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '591 Patent in the United States because Pantech had knowledge of the '591 Patent, and Pantech actually induces infringement by providing instructions to resellers and end-use customers regarding the use and operation of Pantech's products in an infringing way. Such instructions include at least "Pantech Guide" Element User available on Pantech's web site http://www.pantechusa.com/tablets/element/#support. When resellers and end-use customers follow such instructions, they directly infringe the '591 Patent. Pantech knows that by providing such instructions, resellers and end-use customers follow those instructions, and directly infringe the '591 Patent. Pantech thus knows that its actions induce the infringement.
- 87. Pantech indirectly infringes the '591 Patent by contributing to infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of

Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their intended use, including a customer's use of the VPN management functionality. Pantech received actual notice of the '591 Patent at least by November 29, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.

- 88. Pantech's VPN management functionality facilitates management of VPNs. The VPN management functionality is designed for management of VPNs and cannot function in a manner that does not utilize the VPN management functionality available to Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. The VPN management functionality is designed upon information and belief to entice a user to manage VPNs.
- 89. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the VPN functionality is especially made or especially adapted to operate on Pantech Mobile Communication Devices for providing VPN management functionality.
- 90. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the VPN management functionality is not a staple article or commodity of commerce and that the use of the VPN management functionality of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices is for managing VPNs. Any other use would be unusual, far-fetched, illusory, impractical, occasional, aberrant, or experimental.
- 91. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with VPN management functionality are each a material part of the invention of the '591 patent and especially made for the infringing use of the VPN functionality to receive call trace information. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices including the VPN management functionality, are especially made or adapted to provide VPN management functionality that perform or

facilitate performance of the steps that infringe the '591 patent. Furthermore, Pantech provides user manuals describing the uses of its Mobile Communication Devices that infringe the '591 patent. Because the functionality provided by Pantech's VPN management functionality infringes the '591 patent, Pantech's sales of its infringing Mobile Communication Devices have no substantial non-infringing uses.

- 92. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech offers to sell, or sells within the United States a component of a patented machine, manufacture, combination, or composition, or a material or apparatus for use in practicing a patented process, constituting a material part of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of such patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Pantech provides to others, Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support VPN management functionality. Pantech installs and configures on these products distinct and separate components, including software components, which are used only to infringe the '591 Patent.
- 93. The use of at least Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Location Services functionality, as intended by Pantech infringes at least method claim 17 of the '572 Patent. Pantech uses these Mobile Communication Devices and thus directly infringes at least method claim 17 of the '572 Patent.
- 94. In addition, Pantech provides at least its Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Location Services functionality to others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in the United

States who, in turn, use these products to infringe at least method claim 17 of the '572 Patent.

- 95. Pantech indirectly infringes by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their intended use, including a customer's use of the Location Services functionality. Pantech received actual notice of the '572 Patent at least by May 7, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.
- 96. Pantech's affirmative acts of selling its Mobile Communication Devices and providing instruction manuals induced the end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to use Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the '572 patent at least through using Location Services functionality. Pantech also provides instructions, including at least "Pantech Burst User Guide" available Pantech's site on web at http://www.pantechusa.com/phones/burst/#support, for using the Location Services Through its sales of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with functionality. Location Services functionality, Pantech specifically intended the end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices to infringe the '572 patent; further, Pantech was aware that the normal and customary use of Location Services would infringe the '572 patent. Pantech also enticed its end-users to use the Location Services by providing instruction manuals. Pantech performed the acts that constituted induced infringement, and would

induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '572 patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts would constitute infringement.

- 97. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech actively induces infringement of the '572 Patent by others, such as resellers and end-use customers. Pantech specifically intends for others, such as resellers and end-use customers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '572 Patent in the United States because Pantech had knowledge of the '572 Patent, and Pantech actually induces infringement by providing instructions to resellers and end-use customers regarding the use and operation of Pantech's products in an infringing way. Such instructions include at least "User Guide Pantech Burst" available website Pantech's on at http://www.pantechusa.com/phones/burst/#support. When resellers and end-use customers follow such instructions, they directly infringe the '572 Patent. Pantech knows that by providing such instructions, resellers and end-use customers follow those instructions, and directly infringe the '572 Patent. Pantech thus knows that its actions induce the infringement.
- 98. Pantech indirectly infringes the '572 Patent by contributing to infringement by others, such as resellers and end-use customers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is the result of activities performed by the manufacturers, resellers, and end-users of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices in their intended use, including a customer's use of the Locations Services functionality. Pantech received actual notice of the '572 Patent at least by May 7, 2012, in view of a communication from Rockstar, and/or its

predecessors-in-interest, to Pantech, and also received knowledge as of the date this lawsuit was filed.

- 99. Pantech's Location Services functionality provides call trace information, i.e., a geographic location of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices. The Location Services functionality is designed to notify the user of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices of call trace information, i.e., a geographic location of the Mobile Communication Devices, and cannot function in a manner that does not utilize the Location Services functionality available to the Mobile Communication Devices. Upon information and belief, the Location Services functionality is designed to entice a user to access call trace information.
- 100. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the Location Services functionality is especially made or especially adapted to operate on Pantech Mobile Communication Devices for obtaining call trace information, i.e., a geographic location of the Mobile Communication Devices.
- 101. A reasonable inference to be drawn from the facts set forth is that the Location Services functionality is not a staple article or commodity of commerce and that the use of the Location Services functionality of Pantech Mobile Communication Devices is for providing call trace information. Any other use would be unusual, far-fetched, illusory, impractical, occasional, aberrant, or experimental.
- 102. Pantech Mobile Communication Devices with Location Services functionality are each a material part of the '572 patent and especially made for the infringing use of the Location Services functionality to receive call trace information, i.e., a geographic location of the Mobile Communication Devices. The Mobile

Communication Devices including the Location Services functionality are especially made or adapted to provide call trace information that perform or facilitate performance of the steps that infringe the '572 patent. Furthermore, Pantech provides user manuals describing the uses of its products that infringe the '572 patent. Because the functionality provided by Pantech's Location Services to obtain call trace information, i.e., a geographic location of the Mobile Communication Devices, infringes the '572 patent, Pantech's sales of its infringing products have no substantial non-infringing uses.

- 103. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Pantech offers to sell, or sells within the United States a component of a patented machine, manufacture, combination, or composition, or a material or apparatus for use in practicing a patented process, constituting a material part of the invention, knowing the same to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of such patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Pantech provides to others, Mobile Communication Devices with an operating system configured and installed by Pantech to support Location Services functionality. Pantech installs and configures on these products distinct and separate components, including software components, which are used only to perform the infringing method claims.
- 104. Pantech's acts of infringement have caused damage to Rockstar and MobileStar. Rockstar and MobileStar are entitled to recover from Pantech the damages sustained by Rockstar and MobileStar as a result of Pantech's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. In addition, the infringing acts and practices of Pantech have caused, are causing, and, unless such acts and practices are enjoined by the Court, will continue to cause immediate and irreparable harm to Rockstar and MobileStar for which

there is no adequate remedy at law, and for which Rockstar and MobileStar are entitled to injunctive relief under 35 U.S.C. § 283.

105. Pantech received actual notice of its infringement of the '937, '298, '973, '131, '591, and '572 Patents through at least letters sent by Rockstar and/or its predecessors-in-interest, Nortel Networks Ltd. and/or Nortel Networks, Inc., to Pantech, and through meetings between employees of Rockstar and/or its predecessors-in-interest, Nortel Networks Ltd., or Nortel Networks Inc. and Pantech. Pantech also has knowledge of its infringement of the Patents-in-Suit by way of this Complaint.

106. Pantech has willfully infringed and/or does willfully infringe the '937, '298, '973, '131, '591, and '572 Patents.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Rockstar and MobileStar hereby demand a jury trial for all issues so triable.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Rockstar and MobileStar pray for the following relief:

- 1. A judgment that Pantech has directly infringed the '937 Patent, contributorily infringed the '937 Patent, and/or induced the infringement of the '937 Patent.
- 2. A judgment that Pantech has directly infringed the '298 Patent, contributorily infringed the '298 Patent, and/or induced the infringement of the '298 Patent.
- 3. A judgment that Pantech has directly infringed the '973 Patent, contributorily infringed the '973 Patent, and/or induced the infringement of the '973 Patent.

- 4. A judgment that Pantech has directly infringed the '131 Patent, contributorily infringed the '131 Patent, and/or induced the infringement of the '131 Patent.
- 5. A judgment that Pantech has directly infringed the '591 Patent, contributorily infringed the '591 Patent, and/or induced the infringement of the '591 Patent.
- 6. A judgment that Pantech has directly infringed the '572 Patent, contributorily infringed the '572 Patent, and/or induced the infringement of the '572 Patent.
- 7. A permanent injunction preventing Pantech and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, licensees, successors, and assigns, and those in active concert or participation with any of them, from directly infringing, contributorily infringing, and/or inducing the infringement of the '937 patent;
- 8. A permanent injunction preventing Pantech and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, licensees, successors, and assigns, and those in active concert or participation with any of them, from directly infringing, contributorily infringing, and/or inducing the infringement of the '298 patent;
- 9. A permanent injunction preventing Pantech and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, licensees, successors, and assigns, and those in active concert or participation with any of them, from directly infringing, contributorily infringing, and/or inducing the infringement of the '973 patent;
- 10. A permanent injunction preventing Pantech and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, licensees, successors, and assigns, and

those in active concert or participation with any of them, from directly infringing, contributorily infringing, and/or inducing the infringement of the '131 patent;

- 11. A permanent injunction preventing Pantech and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, licensees, successors, and assigns, and those in active concert or participation with any of them, from directly infringing, contributorily infringing, and/or inducing the infringement of the '591 patent;
- 12. A permanent injunction preventing Pantech and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, licensees, successors, and assigns, and those in active concert or participation with any of them, from directly infringing, contributorily infringing, and/or inducing the infringement of the '572 patent;
- 13. A judgment that Pantech's infringement of the '937, '298, '973, '131, '591, and '572 Patents has been willful;
- 14. A ruling that this case be found to be exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and a judgment awarding Rockstar and MobileStar to its attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action;
- 15. A judgment and order requiring Pantech to pay Rockstar and MobileStar damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including supplemental damages for any continuing post-verdict infringement up until entry of the final judgment, with an accounting, as needed, and treble damages for willful infringement as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 16. A judgment and order requiring Pantech to pay Rockstar and MobileStar the costs of this action (including all disbursements);
- 17. A judgment and order requiring Pantech to pay Rockstar and MobileStar pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages awarded;

- 18. A judgment and order requiring that in the event a permanent injunction preventing future acts of infringement is not granted, that Rockstar and MobileStar be awarded a compulsory ongoing licensing fee; and
  - 19. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: October 31, 2013.

Respectfully submitted, McKool Smith, P.C.

/s/ Theodore Stevenson, III

Mike McKool, Jr. Texas Bar No. 13732100 mmckool@mckoolsmith.com Douglas A. Cawley Texas Bar No. 0403550 dcawley@mckoolsmith.com Theodore Stevenson, III Lead Attorney Texas State Bar No. 19196650 tstevenson@mckoolsmith.com David Sochia Texas State Bar No. 00797470 dsochia@mckoolsmith.com MCKOOL SMITH P.C. 300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500 Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 978-4000 Facsimile: (214) 978-4044

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS ROCKSTAR CONSORTIUM US, LP AND MOBILESTAR TECHNOLOGIES LLC