1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Sean Pak (Cal. Bar No. 219032) seanpak@quinnemanuel.com Amy H. Candido (Cal. Bar No. 237829) amycandido@quinnemanuel.com Matthew S. Warren (Cal. Bar No. 230565) matthewwarren@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 875-6600 (415) 875-6700 (facsimile) Attorneys for Plaintiff GOOGLE INC. UNITED STATES	N, LLP DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	OAKLAND DIVISION	
11		
12	GOOGLE INC.,	CASE NO. 13-cv-5933-CW
13	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF ABEER DUBEY
14	v.	
15	ROCKSTAR CONSORTIUM US LP, and MOBILESTAR TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
20		
28		
-		Case No. 13-cv-5933-CW
	DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF GOOGLE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS Dockets.Justia.com	

1 I, Abeer Dubey, declare under 28 U.S.C. §1746:

1. I am an employee of Google Inc. ("Google") and I work at Google's headquarters
in Mountain View, California. I have been a Google employee since 2006.

4 2. I provide this declaration in support of Google's opposition to Defendants' motion
5 to dismiss or transfer this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
6 Texas. I submit this declaration based upon my knowledge of the corporate structure of Google
7 and my investigation of the location of witnesses and evidence relevant to Google's development
8 of the Android platform.

9 3. The Complaint seeks a declaration that Google's Android platform and the Nexus 10 5, Nexus 7, and Nexus 10 devices do not directly or indirectly infringe any claim of United States Patent Nos. 5,838,551, 6,037,937, 6,128,298, 6,333,973, 6,463,131, 6,765,591, and 6,937,572. I 11 12 have made efforts to identify the location of persons with relevant technical knowledge about 13 these products, as well as the location of persons with relevant business and financial information. I have also made efforts to identify the location of documents and other evidence relevant to this 14 15 action. As discussed below, the Northern District of California is a significantly more convenient 16 forum than the Eastern District of Texas for most Google witnesses likely to testify in this action, 17 and all relevant documents and evidence are accessible from Google's headquarters in the Northern District. 18

4. Google has been headquartered in the Northern District of California since its
founding in 1998. Since 2003, it has been headquartered in Mountain View, California, in the
Northern District of California.

S. Google's Mountain View headquarters is the strategic center of Google's business.
 Google's Chief Executive Officer and Executive Chairman are based in Mountain View,
 California. Decisions related to Google's overall business are made in Mountain View,
 California, including most significant engineering, sales, and marketing decisions related to

26 Google's Android platform.

27 6. Prior to its acquisition by Google in 2005, Android Inc. was headquartered in Palo
28 Alto, California, in the Northern District of California. Since acquiring Android Inc., Google has

principally developed the Android platform at Google's headquarters in Mountain View.
 Google's ongoing development efforts, operations, and records regarding Google's Android
 platform are also predominantly based in Mountain View. Google engineers with relevant
 technical knowledge of the Android platform are also predominantly located in Mountain View, as
 are Google employees familiar with the business and financial aspects of the Android platform and
 Google's Nexus products.

7 7. In April 2012, Google opened a small office to house temporarily a handful of
8 employees in Frisco, Texas. None of the employees at this location work on the Android
9 platform. Google has no other offices or facilities in the Eastern District of Texas. Google does
10 maintain a small office in Austin, Texas, in the Western District of Texas. The Google employees
11 in the Austin office are not involved with the development or management of the work on any of
12 the accused instrumentalities identified in the Complaint, and are instead predominantly involved
13 with Google's enterprise sales group.

8. I have investigated this issue, and am currently aware of no Google employees with
relevant knowledge regarding any of the accused instrumentalities identified in the Complaint
working in Google's facilities in Texas or in the Eastern District of Texas. I am also currently
aware of no Google employees that work on Android in the Eastern District of Texas.

All or nearly all of the documents related to Google's Android platform are located
 in Mountain View, California, or are stored on Google's secure servers, which are accessible and
 managed from Mountain View. These documents include technical documents related to
 Google's Android platform, as well as documents related to Google's operations, marketing,
 financials, and customer-service concerning these products.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on
February 6, 2014, in Mountain View, California.

25

26

27

28

-2- CASE NO. 13-CV-5933-CW DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF GOOGLE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS