EXHIBIT 1

1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP JOSHUA A. JESSEN, SBN 222831	
2	JJessen@gibsondunn.com JEANA BISNAR MAUTE, SBN 290573	
3	JBisnarMaute@gibsondunn.com	
4	ASHLEY M. RÖGERS, SBN 286252 ARogers@gibsondunn.com	
5	1881 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304	
6	Telephone: (650) 849-5300 Facsimile: (650) 849-5333	
7	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
8	GAIL E. LEES, SBN 90363 GLees@gibsondunn.com	
9	CHRISTOPHER CHORBA, SBN 216692 CChorba@gibsondunn.com 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 229-7000 Facsimile: (213) 229-7520	
10		
11		
12		
13	FACEBOOK, INC.	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	OAKLAND DIVISON	
17	MATTHEW CAMPBELL, MICHAEL	Case No. C 13-05996 PJH (MEJ)
18	HURLEY, and DAVID SHADPOUR,	PUTATIVE CLASS ACTION
19	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF DALE HARRISON
20	V.	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.
21	FACEBOOK, INC.,	
22	Defendant.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		

DECLARATION OF DALE HARRISON ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Case No. C 13-05996 PJH (MEJ)

I, Dale Harrison, declare as follows:

1. I have been employed as a software engineer at Facebook since August 2014, and my current title is Engineering Manager. I am over the age of 18. In connection with the above-captioned case, I conducted a search for and extraction of certain data, as described further below, and provided the extracted data to Facebook's counsel, who I understand produced that data to the Plaintiffs in this case. I submit this declaration in response to the Court's Minute Entry following the Telephonic Discovery Hearing on September 29, 2015 (Dkt. 118). Specifically, this declaration explains the time-consuming processes I undertook to gather the data already provided to Plaintiffs, as well as the amount of additional time and burden it would take to attempt to gather the additional data that I understand Plaintiffs are requesting (assuming that it were possible to gather that additional data, which it likely is not, and certainly not without significant additional work, for the reasons described below). Unless otherwise stated, the following facts are within my personal knowledge and, if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently to these facts.

Plaintiffs' Requests for Information

- 2. I understand that Plaintiffs in this case asked Facebook to identify:
 - A list of all the Objects and Associations created during the process of sending a given
 Message
 - All associated objects, including id, object type, and key/value pair (including for any
 URLs shared in the message); associations with source object association type,
 destination object, and key/value pair; database name and tables storing each
 association and object; each application or feature using each object or association;
 and how those objects are used by Facebook.
 - For each object, identification and production of the Object's attributes.
- 3. I understand that Plaintiffs seek the above information related to 19 specific messages that Plaintiffs had sent or received. I further understand that Plaintiffs have represented that each of those 19 messages contained a Uniform Resource Locator ("URL")—*i.e.*, a link to a website.

a.

4. In connection with Plaintiffs' requests, I was provided with information about 19 messages that Plaintiffs sent or received. The information included the message senders, recipients, dates and times, and URLs contained in the messages. As explained in greater detail below, searching for these messages and extracting the relevant data was a time-consuming and labor-intensive process, and despite my extensive efforts, I was unable to locate 3 of the messages at all.

5. For the 16 messages I did locate, I was able to extract several pieces of information relating to those messages. The information I extracted included:

b.

c.

d.

6.

which

would be responsive to Plaintiffs' request for information about each application or feature using each object or association, and how those objects are used by Facebook.

- 11. "Associations" refer to relationships between certain data in Facebook's system. By way of example only, the act of physically clicking a "Like" button on a third-party website may result in the creation of an Association between (a) the Object that represents the User who clicked the Like button, and (b) the Object that represents the URL of the third-party website. Associations exist as notations in the related Objects. Some events do not result in creation of any Associations.
- 12. There is currently no search functionality to identify all Objects created in connection with the actions of a given Facebook user. Nor is there currently any search functionality to identify all Objects created in connection with a given Facebook message. In my experience, developing such functionality would likely be impossible, and at a minimum it would require hundreds of hours of engineering time.

Procedure for Extracting the Produced Material

- 13. In order to locate and extract the above data for these messages, I was required to write new software code using
- to find information about the messages in Facebook's internal system. Where successful, the results of each search returned information for each message, stored in Facebook's systems. This was a message-by-message exercise. Using this process, I was able to locate, extract, and provide information relating to 16 of the 19 messages. I understand that this information, referred to as was produced to Plaintiffs.
- 14. As noted above, despite my best efforts, I was unable to locate 3 of the 19 messages. There are a number of possible reasons for this. For example, for one of the messages, I understand that Plaintiffs were unable to provide
- Without this data, I could not locate the message. It is also possible that Plaintiffs may have deleted certain messages (though I am informed that Plaintiffs represented this was not the case). At any

1	rate, as a result of the new code I wrote, I was able to locate information for 16 of the 19 messages
2	selected by Plaintiffs.
3	15.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Using this process, I was able to locate, extract, and provide
10	URL share information relating to 9 of the 16 messages. I understand that this information, referred
11	to as was produced to Plaintiffs. 7 of the 16 messages did not have a
12	16.
13	
14	
15	Using this process, I was able to locate, extract, and provide information
16	relating to the 9 URLs in the 9 I understand that this information, referred to as
17	was produced to Plaintiffs.
18	17. At the request of Facebook's counsel, after providing the above information, I
19	continued to search for any <i>other</i> Objects that could have been created in connection with processing
20	the 16 messages I located. Neither I, nor any other person to my knowledge, is aware of all possible
21	Objects that could be created in connection with processing a Facebook message. However, I knew
22	that it was likely that at least one other Object was created in connection with each message.
23	
24	This was a message-by-message
25	exercise. Using this process, I was able to locate, extract, and provide additional information relating
26	to 16 of the 19 messages. I understand that this information, referred to as
27	produced to Plaintiffs.
28	
	5

18. In total, working with counsel over the course of August and September, I estimate that I spent more than 25 hours writing new code and conducting the manual searches and extractions outlined above.

Estimated Burden for Identifying and Producing Additional Objects and Associations

19. I understand that Plaintiffs also seek all other Objects related to each of the 16 messages that I was able to identify. This is likely impossible. If ordered to do so, I would first have to attempt to ascertain the identity of every Object or Association that could possibly be generated from a message, which may require consulting with engineers in every group who have worked on every past or present product or feature at Facebook (thousands of individuals) to find out what Objects each engineer believes can be generated as a result of their work at Facebook, and whether any of those Objects could be generated from sending a message. This also would require consulting former employees who would have this information. As a part of this exercise, I would also have to try to ascertain where in the Facebook system each of these types of Object may exist. Second, I would be required to try to assess what existing Facebook search functionality and tools are available for searching for, locating, and extracting, each such Object type. As noted above, there is no search function that returns all Objects for a given user; however, if I were to learn of an Object type that may exist for these messages, it may be that there is existing search functionality for locating and extracting that Object. Depending on the existing functionality, it might be necessary to write new code to identify or extract such Objects, either individually or potentially in batch form. It is unclear whether this would be possible. My best estimate is that, if search functionality either exists or could be developed to identify and extract this information, it could take hundreds of man hours to do so. Depending on how many objects there are, it could take a much longer period of time.

Identifying Applications or Features or other Use of Objects and Associations

20. I understand that Plaintiffs also asked Facebook to identify each application or feature in Facebook that can use the Objects and Associations for each of these messages. But the comprehensive record of Facebook functions that used any given Object or Association type at any given time is Facebook's source code. And it is outside the scope of any single engineer's personal knowledge—and would be exceedingly burdensome to review the source code in its entirety—to

26

27

28

1	develop a list of all possible uses of Objects and Associations. If Plaintiffs identified a given	
2	Facebook application or feature, Facebook engineers may know from their personal knowledge or	
3	could possibly identify the relevant code and/or other technical documentation to ascertain whether	
4	certain types of Objects and Associations were utilized in that application or feature. However, the	
5	abstract hypothetical question as to all possible uses is likely impossible to answer.	
6	21. The documentation that Facebook has already produced to Plaintiffs	
7		
8		
9	. This information should help Plaintiffs conduct their own review of the relevant	
10	source code.	
11	Hyperlinks Referenced in Produced Documents	
12	22. I understand that Plaintiffs have also asked Facebook to produce	
13	for the	
14	16 historical messages I extracted.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
20	foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on October 6, 2015 in Günzburg,	
21	Germany.	
22	/s/ Dala Hawison	
23	/s/ Dale Harrison Dale Harrison	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	7	

ATTORNEY ATTESTATION I, Joshua A. Jessen, attest that concurrence in the filing of this Declaration of Dale Harrison has been obtained from the signatory. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 6th day of October, 2015, in Irvine, California. Dated: October 6, 2015 /s/ Joshua A. Jessen Joshua A. Jessen