Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

CERTIFICATION UNDER SEAL - CASE NO. C 13-05996 PJH

Campbell et al v. Facebook Inc.

Doc. 169 Att. 1

I, Nikki Stitt Sokol, declare as follows:

- 1. I am Associate General Counsel for Litigation for Defendant Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook"). Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) and the Amended Stipulated Protective Order entered by the Court on July 1, 2015 (the "Protective Order") (Dkt. No. 93), I submit this Declaration in support of Facebook's Administrative Motion to File Documents In Support of Its Objection to and Request to Strike New Evidence and Misstatements of Fact Contained in in Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Their Motion for Class Certification Under Seal ("Motion to Seal"). Except as otherwise noted, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration and, if called and sworn as a witness, could and would testify competently to them.
- 2. The Motion to Seal sets forth the documents sought to be sealed, including the following:
 - (1) designated portions of Facebook's Objection to and Request to Strike New Evidence and Misstatements of Fact in Plaintiffs' Reply in Support Of Their Motion For Class Certification;
- (2) designated portions of the Declaration of Alex Himel In Support of Defendant Facebook, Inc.'s Objection to and Request to Strike New Evidence and Misstatements of Fact in Plaintiffs' Reply in Support Of Their Motion For Class Certification ("Himel Declaration"); and
- (3) designated portions of the Declaration of Dale Harrison In Support of Defendant Facebook, Inc.'s Objection to and Request to Strike New Evidence and Misstatements of Fact in Plaintiffs' Reply in Support Of Their Motion For Class Certification ("Harrison Declaration").

<u>Facebook's Objection to New Evidence in Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification</u>

3. Good cause exists to seal portions of Facebook's Objection to and Request to Strike New Evidence and Misstatements of Fact in Plaintiffs' Reply in Support Of Their Motion For Class Certification (Exhibit 1 to the Motion to Seal). Specifically, the following portions contain non-public, confidential, and proprietary Facebook business information designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY pursuant to the Amended Stipulated Protective Order. The public does not at this time have a meaningful interest in obtaining such information, and

]

public disclosure of this information would cause particularized harm to Facebook by allowing its competitors to access sensitive information, which they could use to gain an unfair advantage against Facebook. Such information could also be used by individuals or companies that might seek to compromise the security of Facebook's messages technology, causing harm to Facebook and the people who use Facebook's services:

Sealable Portions	Reason for Confidentiality	
2:9; 2:13; 2:15;	This reflects information from the "Rebuttal" Expert Report of Dr. Jennifer	
2:20-21; 2:22;	Golbeck In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 166-7	
2:23: 2:25; 2:27;	and Dkt. 167-1, Ex. 1) that concerns the processes and functionality of	
3:17; 4:3-4	Facebook's messages technology and source code that is protectable as a	
	trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	

Himel Declaration

4. Good cause exists to seal portions of the Himel Declaration (Exhibit 3 to the Motion to Seal). Specifically, the following portions contain non-public, confidential, and proprietary Facebook business information that Facebook designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY pursuant to the Amended Stipulated Protective Order. The public does not at this time have a meaningful interest in obtaining such information, and public disclosure of this information would cause particularized harm to Facebook by allowing its competitors to access sensitive information, which they could use to gain an unfair advantage against Facebook. Such information could also be used by individuals or companies that might seek to compromise the security of Facebook's messages technology, causing harm to Facebook and the people who use Facebook's services.

Sealable Portions	Reason for Confidentiality	
1:9-10; 1:11; 1:11-	This reflects information from the "Rebuttal" Expert Report of Dr. Jennifer	
14	Golbeck In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 166-7	
	and Dkt. 167-1, Ex. 1) that concerns the processes and functionality of	
	Facebook's messages technology and source code that is protectable as a	
	trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	
1:17; 1:18; 1:19-21	1 This reflects information from the Harrison Declaration that concerns the	
	processes and functionality of Facebook's messages technology and source	
	code that is protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection	
	under the law.	
1:22; 1:23	This reflects information from the "Rebuttal" Expert Report of Dr. Jennifer	

DECLARATION OF NIKKI STITT SOKOL IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS OBJECTION TO AND REQUEST TO STRIKE NEW EVIDENCE AND MISSTATEMENTS OF FACT CONTAINED IN PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION UNDER SEAL - CASE NO. C 13-05996 PJH

Sealable Portions	Reason for Confidentiality	
	Golbeck In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 166-7	
	and Dkt. 167-1, Ex. 1) that concerns the processes and functionality of	
	Facebook's messages technology and source code that is protectable as a	
	trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	
1:24-26; 1:27; 2:1;	This information concerns the processes and functionality of Facebook's	
2:1-3; 2:5-6; 2:6;	messages technology and source code that is protectable as a trade secret or	
2:6	otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	
2:9; 2:10	This reflects information from the "Rebuttal" Expert Report of Dr. Jennifer	
	Golbeck In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 166-7	
	and Dkt. 167-1, Ex. 1) that concerns the processes and functionality of	
	Facebook's messages technology and source code that is protectable as a	
	trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	
2:11; 2:11; 2:12-15	This information concerns the processes and functionality of Facebook's	
	messages technology and source code that is protectable as a trade secret or	
	otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	
2:15-17	This reflects information from the deposition of a Facebook employee that	
	concerns the processes and functionality of Facebook's messages technology	
	and source code that is protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to	
	protection under the law.	
2:18; 2:19; 2:19-	This information concerns the processes and functionality of Facebook's	
20; 2:20-21	messages technology and source code that is protectable as a trade secret or	
	otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	
2:22-23	This reflects information from the "Rebuttal" Expert Report of Dr. Jennifer	
	Golbeck In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 166-7	
	and Dkt. 167-1, Ex. 1) that concerns the processes and functionality of	
	Facebook's messages technology and source code that is protectable as a	
	trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	
2:24; 2:24-25; 2:25	This information concerns the processes and functionality of Facebook's	
	messages technology and source code that is protectable as a trade secret or	
	otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	
3:1-2	This reflects information from the "Rebuttal" Expert Report of Dr. Jennifer	
	Golbeck In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 166-7	
	and Dkt. 167-1, Ex. 1) that concerns the processes and functionality of	
	Facebook's messages technology and source code that is protectable as a	
	trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	
3:4; 3:4-5	This information concerns the processes and functionality of Facebook's	
	messages technology, other internal tools, and source code that is protectable	
	as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.	

Harrison Declaration

5. Good cause exists to seal portions of the Harrison Declaration (Exhibit 5 to the Motion to Seal). Specifically, the following portions contain non-public, confidential, and proprietary Facebook business information that Facebook designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –

Gibson, Dunn &

Crutcher LLP

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY pursuant to the Amended Stipulated Protective Order. The public does not at this time have a meaningful interest in obtaining such information, and public disclosure of this information would cause particularized harm to Facebook by allowing its competitors to access sensitive information, which they could use to gain an unfair advantage against Facebook. Such information could also be used by individuals or companies that might seek to compromise the security of Facebook's messages technology, causing harm to Facebook and the people who use Facebook's services.

Sealable Portions	Reason for Confidentiality		
1:11-12; 1:13-14	This reflects information from the "Rebuttal" Expert Report of Dr. Jennifer		
	Golbeck In Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. 166-7		
	and Dkt. 167-1, Ex. 1) that concerns the processes and functionality of		
	Facebook's messages technology and source code that is protectable as a		
	trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.		
1:16; 1:17; 1:17;	This information concerns the processes and functionality of Facebook's		
1:21-22; 1:23-24;	messages technology, other internal tools, and source code that is protectable		
1:25; 1:26-27; 2:1-	as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.		
2; 2:4-6; 2:7-8;			
2:10-11; 2:16;			
2:19; 2:23; 3:2-3;			
3:9-11; 3:12; 3:12;			
3:14; 3:15-16;			
3:16; 3:17-18			

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I executed this Declaration in Austin, Texas on February 26, 2016.

/s/ Nikki Stitt Sokol	
Nikki Stitt Sokol	

ATTORNEY ATTESTATION I, Christopher Chorba, attest that concurrence in the filing of this Declaration of Nikki Stitt Sokol has been obtained from the signatory. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 26th day of January 2016, in Los Angeles, California. /s/ Christopher Chorba Christopher Chorba Dated: February 26, 2016