## EXHIBIT 5



## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

| 1 it , which is an association type and user next to 02:56:42 | 1 anything, because they were prototyped products, and 03:00:24 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 it . | 2 any engineer can build a system to add any |
| 3 Q. What does no props mean? | 3 associations between any objects. |
| 4 A. That just means that this tool was not | 4 Q. If you had access to, to your Facebook |
| 5 able to find properties on the association type. 02:57:06 | 5 terminal today, could you, would you have the means 03:00:55 |
| 6 Q. And what association type was it looking | 6 to identify what those associations were? |
| 7 for there? | 7 A. I'm not sure I could do this for |
| 8 A. 37619379530. | 8 everything. |
| 9 Q. Do you know why it was looking for that? | 9 Q. Could you do it for some things? |
| 10 A. This tool just displays any raw 02:57:25 | 10 A. Yes. 03:01:13 |
| 11 associations we can find. | 11 Q. Which ones do you think you would be able |
| 12 Q. And then how about the, above that, the | 12 to identify? |
| 13 top line there which says props, and then OBJ | 13 A. For instance, I think I know the last |
| 14 greater than user, do you see that? | 14 association. |
| 15 A. Yes. 02:57:41 | 15 Q. So what page are you looking at? 03:01:27 |
| 16 Q. What does that mean? | 16 A. Looking at page 11,6019 . |
| 17 A. I wouldn't be able to tell without loading | 17 Q. Uh-huh. |
| 18 the association type. | 18 A. I believe those, the object marker |
| 19 Q. And how would you go about loading the | 19 association, I could identify that. |
| 20 association type? 02:57:53 | 20 Q. How so? 03:01:42 |
| 21 A. I would load the association type into our | 21 A. I believe I've seen the association |
| 22 association type tool, and also scanning it through, | 22 before. |
| 23 looking for the association type within our code | 23 Q. You mean that exact number? |
| 24 base. | 24 A. No. The type -- |
| 25 Q. And what's your association tool, what's $\begin{gathered}\text { 02:58:10 } \\ \text { Page } 170\end{gathered}$ | 25 Q. Okay. 03:01:54 Page 172 |
| 1 the name of it? 02:58:13 | 1 A. -- used in our code base. 03:01:55 |
| 2 A. That would be the, I believe that would be | 2 Q. And what type is that? |
| 3 the TAO schema tool. | 3 A. It's a type which indicates who has marked |
| 4 Q. What is an association, as that term is | 4 this as spam, or abusive. |
| 5 used at the top of page 6014, Bates 6014? 02:58:44 | $5 \quad \mathrm{Q}$. And are there particular digits there that 03:02:06 |
| 6 A. It is a entry within TAO linking this | 6 allow you to make that conclusion? |
| 7 global share to a user ID, sorry, to any ID. | 7 A. I think it's the name of the type. |
| 8 Q. So an object ID, a user ID, any ID, any | 8 Q. The OBJ to marker? |
| 9 identification number created by Facebook. | 9 A. Yes, OBJ to marker. |
| 10 A. Yes, depending on the type. 02:59:21 | 10 Q. So, what has been marked as spam or 03:02:29 |
| 11 Q. What types are included, what types of IDs | 11 abusive in, on page 11 of 29? |
| 12 are included in this list of associations? | 12 MR. CHORBA: Objection. Lacks foundation. |
| 13 A. I wouldn't be able to give you an | 13 THE WITNESS: What do you mean, what? |
| 14 exhaustive list, but the ones listed for this object | 14 BY MR. CARNEY: |
| 15 are on the left, left-hand column. 02:59:37 | 15 Q. Is, looking at the OBJ to marker entry 03:02:56 |
| 16 Q. Can, in the left-hand column under the | 16 there, and that looks like maybe 12 or 16 digit |
| 17 props, colon, OBJ greater than user line or column? | 17 number? |
| 18 A. Yes. | 18 A. Yes. |
| 19 Q. And can you tell what type of ID the | 19 Q. What is that number? Is that number an |
| 202540961 number is? 02:59:58 | 20 object? 03:03:11 |
| 21 A. No. | 21 A. To the right, or right underneath OBJ -- |
| 22 Q. Is there any way to ascertain what any of | 22 Q. Right underneath, which begins 101501. |
| 23 these associations are on the left-hand column | 23 A. I believe that is the number indicating |
| 24 because of, for example, the number of digits? | 24 the type of OBJ to marker. |
| 25 A. There is not. Some of them may not mean $\begin{aligned} & \text { 03:00:20 } \\ & \text { Page } 171\end{aligned}$ | 25 Q. I see. 03:03:28 Page 173 |


| 1 line of this e-mail. 04:50:44 | 1 able to answer that question. 04:53:58 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 MR. CHORBA: Objection. Lacks foundation. | 2 Q. Are there documents that you could review |
| 3 Calls for speculation. | 3 that you know exist that would allow for you to |
| 4 You can answer, if you know. | 4 answer the question? |
| 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly what 04:50:59 | 5 A. Yes. 04:54:12 |
| 6 meant in the title of this diff. | 6 Q. What are they? |
| 7 BY MR. CARNEY: | 7 A. Facebook source code. |
| 8 Q. Do you recall whether you performed a code | 8 Q. And how would you find the lines of code |
| 9 review of adding post-processing step to fetch the | 9 that would answer that question if you had access to |
| 10 share and like associations? 04:51:14 | 10 the source code in the room today? 04:54:22 |
| 11 A. I don't recall. | 11 A. I would look back to December 3, 2010 and |
| 12 Q. Would the, would a record have been | 12 see if that code existed before this revision. |
| 13 preserved at Facebook which would reveal whether in | 13 Q. I did limit that temporally. Let me ask |
| 14 fact you had performed such a code review? | 14 the question without any temporal limitation. |
| 15 A. Yes. 04:51:30 | 15 Is there code at Facebook which allows for 04:54:48 |
| 16 Q. And where would that information reside? | 16 the fetching of like information for purposes of |
| 17 A. In the revision detail link. | 17 providing recommendations? |
| 18 Q. In what system? | 18 MR. CHORBA: Objection. Vague as to time. I |
| 19 A. In this document. | 19 know you intended to lift the temporal limitation, |
| 20 Q. So, if I clicked on the link there, 04:51:45 | 20 but are you talking about today? 04:55:09 |
| 21 tools.Facebook.com/D188969, that information woul | 21 MR. CARNEY: At any time. |
| 22 be revealed? | 22 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if there is code at |
| 23 A. Yes. | 23 Facebook which allows for the fetching of like |
| 24 Q. What is, taste, as the term is used in | 24 information for the purposes of providing |
| 25 this document? 04:52:01 $\quad$ Page 226 | 25 recommendations, other than what was introduced or $\begin{gathered}\text { 04:55:26 } \\ \\ \text { Page } 228\end{gathered}$ |
| 1 MR. CHORBA: Objection. Lacks foundation. 04:52:02 | 1 supposedly introduced in this. 04:55:29 |
| 2 You can answer, if you know. | 2 BY MR. CARNEY: |
| 3 THE WITNESS: Taste is a back end for providing | 3 Q. What types of things are recommended by |
| 4 recommendations. | 4 the taste back end? |
| 5 BY MR. CARNEY: 04:52:12 | 5 MR. CHORBA: Objection. Vague. 04:56:04 |
| 6 Q. And what does, back end, mean in that | 6 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by, types of |
| 7 answer you just gave? | 7 things? Just -- |
| 8 A. It is a server that a, a web server would | 8 BY MR. CARNEY: |
| 9 communicate with to fetch information. | 9 Q. Is it consumer goods? Is it restaurants? |
| 10 Q. And what is a recommendation? 04:52:31 | 10 Is it trips? I have no idea. 04:56:28 |
| 11 A. A recommendation is a link, typically, a | 11 A. It depends on the time period in question. |
| 12 link that we think a user would find relevant. | 12 Q. Okay. How about in 2011? |
| 13 Q. And how is that information presented to | 13 A. In 2011, I believe it was only URLs. |
| 14 the user? | 14 Q. And would they be URLs that included |
| 15 A. For this particular diff, it would have 04:52:55 | 15 commercial URLs, that is, commercial companies 04:56:47 |
| 16 been presented in a social plugin called the | 16 selling products to consumers? |
| 17 recommendations plugin. | 17 A. I don't think it differentiated between |
| 18 Q. Subsequent to December 2010, was there | 18 URLs. |
| 19 code which allowed for the fetching of like | 19 Q. And how, were you involved in drafting |
| 20 information for purposes of providing 04:53:38 | 20 source code for purposes of identifying 04:57:01 |
| 21 recommendations? | 21 recommendations? |
| 22 A. I'm not sure. | 22 A. Not directly. |
| 23 Q. Who would best be able to answer that | 23 Q. Indirectly? |
| 24 question? | 24 A. Well, we've previously established that I |
| 25 A. I'm probably the person who would best be 04:53:56 Page 227 | 25 wrote the code to add a counter, and I believe that $\begin{aligned} & \text { 04:57:27 } \\ & \text { Page } 229\end{aligned}$ |


1 code was indirectly used to provide recommendations. 04:57:35
Q. How?
3 A. The counts were taken into account to
4 assess the relative engagement or popularity of a
5 given URL. More popular URLs are more likely to be 04:57:51
6 recommended.
7 Q. You -- I limited that question temporally
8 to 2011.
9 Did it change in 2012?
10 A. I don't know. 04:58:06
1 Q. Turning your attention to 17 , the document
that we've been talking about -
14 Q. -- has the, can you tell by looking at
15 this e-mail whether the code to accomplish these
Page 230
1 Q. We talked earlier and you just mentioned 05:00:27
2 about the code to add a counter.
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. Remind me, what did you add a counter to?
5 A. This is the ENTGlobalShare. 05:00:39
6 Q. And are URLs embedded in private messages,
7 do they give rise to global shares?
8 MR. CHORBA: Objection as to form.
9 THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.
10 BY MR. CARNEY: 05:01:04
11 Q. In some instances?
12 A. Based on the instances we previously
13 discussed, and, under all those conditions, yes,
14 they can.
Q. Okay.
05:01:12
(Exhibit 18 was marked for identification
by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)
18 BY MR. CARNEY:
19 Q. Mr. He, if you'd look at that document and
20 identify it, if you can. 05:01:48
21 A. This appears to be a printout of a
22 automatically created task e-mail.
23 Q. Can you tell by looking what information
24 is redacted there on page 16385 ?
25 A. No. 05:02:16
Q. How about 6386?

05:02:19
A. No.
Q. 6387.
A. No.
Q. Okay. If you'd look at the text that's 05:02:43

6 drafted by Mr. Liu at 6:16 p.m, they are sort of in
7 the middle of 6387, do you see that?
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Do you know what previous bug number
444663 relates to?
05:02:57
MR. CHORBA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
THE WITNESS: No, I don't know.
BY MR. CARNEY:
Q. In February of 2011, was
logging all shares, that is, including posts and 05:03:37
16 nonposts? I'm just reading on here.
MR. CHORBA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure.
BY MR. CARNEY:
Q. Who would know the answer to that 05:04:00 1 question?
A. I would best know the answer to that
question. I believe, in a timeline that counsel has
provided, it would have delineated the time periods.
I'm unable to recall, given a time, what the state 05:04:17
Page 232
of the world was.
05:04:20
Q. What do you mean by, timeline?
A. I mean, a document listing a sequence of

4 events and dates.
5 Q. And who prepared the timeline? 05:04:43
A. I believe, counsel.

MR. CHORBA: Can we have a second to confer. I
think we might be able to cut through this.
MR. CARNEY: Yeah.
MR. CHORBA: Take your mike off for a second. 05:04:56
We don't have to go off. Just give me one second.
(Counsel conferred with the witness.)
MR. CHORBA: I think, I think he's talking
about the Alex Himel declaration that had the diffs.
MR. CARNEY: Okay. 05:05:12
MR. CHORBA: He's referring to it as a
timeline.
MR. CARNEY: Great. Okay.
BY MR. CARNEY:
Q. Let me see if we can pull that document, 05:05:23
and then we won't force you into a crushing
memory --
A. Exercise.
Q. Yes.
(Exhibit 19 was marked for identification 05:06:03
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