

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MATTHEW CAMPBELL and MICHAEL
HURLEY, on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FACEBOOK, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. C 13-05996 PJH (SK)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL
CONFIGURATION TABLES**

Judge: Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton

18

19 Having considered Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Configuration Tables ("Motion"), all
20 materials submitted in support thereof, and other records on file, the Court hereby GRANTS the
21 Motion, and FINDS as follows:

22 1. Plaintiffs request that Facebook several "configuration tables"—tables contained
23 within databases, which show what kind of data resides on a given database, how that data is
24 organized, and how that data is used—for the databases specifically identified in Plaintiffs'
25 Motion, as well as for any databases that contain data derived from Private Message URL
26 content.

27 2. The above-described configuration tables relate to Plaintiffs' claims (as well as
28 Facebook's affirmative defenses) as defined by the Court's class certification ruling (Dkt. 192,

1 “Cert. Order”) and Plaintiffs’ Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. 196, “SAC”), filed in
2 compliance with the Court’s Order.

3 3. Plaintiffs seek only those configuration tables that relate to Plaintiffs’ claims as
4 defined by the Cert. Order and Plaintiffs’ SAC, filed in compliance with the Court’s Order, and
5 thus Plaintiffs’ request is proportional to the needs of the case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.
6 26(b)(1). Additionally, Plaintiffs do not seek the user data contained within the above-described
7 databases, but merely the configuration data. The information contained in these tables necessary
8 to understand the operation of Facebook’s internal systems with respect to the challenged
9 conduct. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2), the requested tables are neither cumulative nor
10 duplicative or other discovery, nor can the configuration data be obtained from some other
11 source. Similarly, Rule 26(b)(2) is satisfied due to the fact that production of the configuration
12 tables—distinct from the voluminous user data in the tables—is not overly burdensome or
13 disproportionate.

14 Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** as follows:

15 1. Facebook shall produce all configuration tables for the databases identified in
16 Plaintiffs’ Motion, as well as for any other databases that contain data derived from Private
17 Message URL content.

18 2. The configuration tables shall be produced in the form of a text file dump, within
19 two weeks of the entry of this Order.

20
21
22 **It is so ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated: _____

Phyllis J. Hamilton
United States District Judge