## Exhibit 10

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT(S) SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP JOSHUA A. JESSEN, SBN 222831  JJessen@gibsondunn.com JEANA BISNAR MAUTE, SBN 290573 JBisnarMaute@gibsondunn.com PRIYANKA RAJAGOPALAN, SBN 278504 PRajagopalan@gibsondunn.com ASHLEY M. ROGERS, SBN 286252 ARogers@gibsondunn.com 1881 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 849-5300 Facsimile: (650) 849-5333  GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP CHRISTOPHER CHORBA, SBN 216692 CChorba@gibsondunn.com 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 229-7000 Facsimile: (213) 229-7520	
12	Attorneys for Defendant FACEBOOK, INC.	
13 14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	OAKLAND DIVISION	
16		
17	MATTHEW CAMPBELL and MICHAEL HURLEY,	Case No. C 13-05996 PJH (SK)
18 19	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JEANA BISNAR MAUTE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO
20	V.	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
21	FACEBOOK, INC.,	
22	Defendant.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

DECLARATION OF JEANA BISNAR MAUTE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS; Case No. C 13-05996 PJH (MEJ) (SK)

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 3.

Facebook's systems for

production from these search results.

I, Jeana Bisnar Maute, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice law before this Court. I am an associate in the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, and I am one of the attorneys responsible for representing Defendant Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook") in the above-captioned action. I submit this declaration in support of Facebook's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Production of Documents (Dkt. 208; the "Motion"). The following facts are within my personal knowledge or based on the knowledge I have acquired by working with Facebook personnel to provide discovery and produce documents in this matter and, if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently to these facts.
- 2. Plaintiffs in this case are requesting that Facebook use a set of specific searches in order to extract documents from Facebook's system for review and production in this lawsuit. These searches require that a document contain one or more enumerated words within 50 words of one or more other enumerated words in order to be responsive to the search (i.e., "proximity searching").

. Facebook has undertaken this process for Plaintiffs' proposed searches. Plaintiffs' proposed searches as applied to the email collections of the individual custodians alone returned almost 100,000 unique documents. Discovery vendor costs for Facebook to merely collect, process, and search these documents has already risen to approximately \$50,000. Based on historical costs for review of documents in this case, it would likely cost Facebook several hundred thousand additional dollars to complete review of and

4. With respect to non-individual custodians,
systems (among other things) in the
form of . The search functionality includes

1			
2			
3			
4			
5	. A search in this system for the word "EntShare" alone		
6	returned over 10,000 results. Manually viewing and printing each of these page results would be		
7	extremely burdensome. Even if that process were undertaken, those documents would still need to be		
8	moved to another system for processing and proximity searching.		
9	5. Facebook's "system,		
10			
11	Therefore, searches in the "system would be duplicative of searches in Facebook's email		
12	system.		
13	6. When Facebook exports documents to a review platform for purposes of litigation,		
14	documents will sometimes have no individual "custodian" metadata information, and Facebook may		
15	apply "Facebook," or "Facebook Email," or "Facebook Temp" or some other generic custodian		
16	information in the "custodian" metadata field.		
17	7. Facebook possesses		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	. Manually and individually locating and converting each page would be extremely		
24	burdensome.		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that
2	the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on August 19, 2016 in
3	Palo Alto, California.
4	/s/ Jeana Bisnar Maute
5	Jeana Bisnar Maute
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

## **ATTORNEY ATTESTATION**

1	ATTORNETATION		
2	I, Joshua A. Jessen, attest that concurrence in the filing of this Declaration of Jeana Bisnar		
	Maute has been obtained from the signatory. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the		
3	United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of August		
4	2016, in Irvine, California.		
5			
6	Dated: August 19, 2016	/s/ Joshua A. Jessen Joshua A. Jessen	
7			
8			
9			
10			
2			
3			
4			
5			
16			
7			
8			
9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
- 11	II .		

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 4