UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

TSVETEN S. TORBOV,

Plaintiff,

v.

CENLAR AGENCY, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-00130-BLF

VERDICT FORM

SECTION 1

VERDICT FORM – BREACH OF CONTRACT

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. Did Mr. Torbov and Cenlar/Nationstar enter into a contract?
Yes No
If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, and go
to Section 2.
2. Did Mr. Torbov do all, or substantially all, of the significant things that the contract
required him to do, or was Mr. Torbov excused from having to do all, or substantially all, of the
significant things that the contract required him to do?
Yes No
If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, stop here, and go
to Section 2.
3. Did Cenlar/Nationstar fail to do something that the contract required it to do?
Yes No
Or
Did Cenlar/Nationstar do something that the contract prohibited it from doing?
Yes No
If your answer to either option for question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you
answered no to both options, stop here, and go to Section 2.
4. Was Mr. Torbov harmed by Cenlar/Nationstar's breach of contract?
Yes No
Go to Section 2.

SECTION 2

VERDICT FORM – BREACH OF COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

5. Did Mr. Torbov	and Cenlar/Nations	tar enter in	to a contract?		
X	_Yes _		No		
If your answer to question	5 is yes, then answe	er question	6. If you ansv	vered no, st	op here, and go
to Section 3.					
6. Did Mr. Torbov	do all, or substanti	ally all, of	the significant	things that	the contract
required him to do, or was	Mr. Torbov excuse	d from hav	ring to do all, o	r substanti	ally all, of the
significant things that the	contract required his	m to do?			
	_Yes _	X	_No		
If your answer to question	6 is yes, then answ	er question	7. If you answ	wered no, s	top here, and go
to Section 3.					
7. Did Cenlar/Nat	ionstar unfairly inte	rfere with	Mr. Torbov's r	right to reco	eive the benefits
of the contract?					
	Yes		_No		
If your answer to question	n 7 is yes, then answ	er question	18. If you ans	wered no,	stop here, and go
to Section 3.					
8. Was Mr. Torbo	ov harmed by Cenla	r/Nationsta	r's interferenc	e?	
	Yes		_ No		
Go to Section 3, Damage	S.				

United States Dis t Court Northern District of California

SECTION 3

VERDICT FORM – DAMAGES
If you answered yes to either question 4 and/or question 8, answer question 9. If you answered no
to question 1, 2, 3 or 4 and no to question 5, 6, 7 or 8, stop here, answer no further questions and
have your presiding juror sign and date this form.
9. What are Mr. Torbov's damages?
\$
Answer question 10.
10. Did Mr. Torbov fail to use reasonable efforts to mitigate his damages?
Yes No
If your answer to question 10 is yes, then answer question 11. If you answered no, stop here,
answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
11. What portion the total damages could Mr. Torbov have avoided?
Signed: Minel Zummer (Presiding Juror)
$\gamma / \ell / \gamma$

Dated: _______, 2018

After all verdict forms have been signed, notify the clerk that you are ready to present your verdict in the courtroom.