1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
7		
8	TSVETAL TORBOV,	Case No. 5:14-cv-00130-BLF
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION; AND DENYING
10	V.	WITHOUT PREJUDICE MOTION FOR AN ORDER COMPELLING DISCLOSURE OR
11	CENLAR AGENCY, INC., MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,	DISCOVERY
12	INC., TAYLOR, BAN & WHITAKER CORP, AND DOES 1-25,	[Re: ECF 55, 56]
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Tsvetan Torbov has filed a Motion for Reconsideration (ECF 55) of this Court's	
16	Order Denying Motion to Enlarge Time issued May 27, 2014 (ECF 51); and a Motion for an Order	
17	Compelling Disclosure or Discovery (ECF 56).	
18	The Court's Civil Local Rules require a party to obtain leave of the Court before filing a	
19	motion for reconsideration of any interlocutory order. Civ. L.R. 7-9(a). The Court construes	
20	Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration as a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration.	
21	The motion is DENIED. The order as to which Plaintiff wishes to seek reconsideration denied	
22	Plaintiff's request for an extension of the discovery cut-off on the basis that no discovery cut-off has	
23	been set in this case. (See Order Denying Motion to Enlarge Time, ECF 51). As the Court	
24	reaffirmed at the July 3, 2014 hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, there is no discovery cut-	
25	off in this case that the Court could extend or enlarge.	
26	The Court's Civil Local Rules also require that motions seeking substantive relief, such as	
27	Plaintiff's Motion for an Order Compelling Disclosure or Discovery, be "filed, served and noticed	
28	in writing on the motion calendar of the assigned	Judge for hearing not less than 35 days after filing

Compelling Disclosure or Discovery was not noticed on Judge Lloyd's motion calendar.		
Accordingly, it is DENIED without prejudice to t	the filing of a renewed motion that complies with	
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Cou	urt's Civil Local Rules and is properly noticed for	
hearing before Magistrate Judge Lloyd.		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated: July 8, 2014	BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge	
5.14 00120 DI E	2	
5:14-cv-00130-BLF ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECON AND DENY	ING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE/DISCOVERY	

of the motion." Civ. L.R. 7-2. All discovery matters in this case have been referred to Magistrate

Judge Howard R. Lloyd. (See Case Management Order, ECF 46) Plaintiff's Motion for an Order

United States District Court For the Northern District of California