

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
7 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

8 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY,
9

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 SERVICENOW, INC.,
13

14 Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-00570-BLF

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

[Re: ECF 66]

15 The Court has received Defendant's administrative motion for leave to file an additional
16 motion for summary judgment beyond the one motion for summary judgment per party permitted
17 by the Court's Standing Order Re Civil Cases. Defendant seeks leave to file a motion for
18 summary judgment of invalidity under 35 U.S.C. § 101 with respect to four patents-in-suit while
19 reserving its right to file a future motion for summary judgment with respect to other issues in this
20 case, if appropriate. Plaintiff opposes Defendant's administrative motion and requests that in the
21 event the administrative motion is granted, Defendant be precluded from filing any future requests
22 for claim construction or summary judgment with respect to the four patents that are the subject of
23 the § 101 motion.


24 Defendant's administrative motion for leave to file an additional motion for summary
25 judgment is GRANTED. Plaintiff's requests to limit Defendant's future filings are DENIED.
26 While Defendant of course will be precluded from filing a future motion rearguing invalidity
27 under § 101 with respect to the four patents in question, Defendant will not be precluded from
28 seeking any other appropriate relief in this case.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

After reviewing the briefing on the motion for summary judgment, the Court may schedule a tutorial prior to or in conjunction with the hearing on the motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 26, 2014


BETH LABSON FREEMAN
United States District Judge