28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	CHARLES LOFT,	Case No. 14-CV-00817-LHK
13	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM
14	v.	
15	STATIONARY ENGINEERS, LOCAL 39 PTF, LLC,	
16	Defendant.	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	The parties shall file any objections to the	ne verdict form by June 9, 2015.
20		J 11 12 1
21	Dated: June 1, 2015	Jucy H. Koh LUCAH. KOH
22		United States District Judge
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

When answering the following questions and filling out this verdict form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return the answers under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

## LABOR-MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT

LABUR-MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLUSURE ACT	
(1) Was Charles Loft served with written specific charges against him?	
Yes No	
Please proceed to question number 2.	
(2) Was Charles Loft given a reasonable time to prepare his defense to those charges?	
Yes No	
Please proceed to question number 3	
(3) Was Charles Loft given a full and fair trial?	
Yes No	
If you answered "No" to any of questions 1, 2, or 3, proceed to question number 4. If you inswered "Yes" to questions 1, 2, and 3, proceed to question number 5.	и
(4) What are Charles Loft's damages, if any, for violation of the Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act?	
\$	
Please proceed to question number 5.	
BREACH OF CONTRACT	
(5) Did Stationary Engineers Local 39 breach the International Constitution?	
Yes No	
If you answered "Yes" to question number 5, proceed to question number 6. If you unswered "No" to question number 5, proceed to question number 7.	

27

28

1	(6) What are Charles Loft's damages, if any, for breach of contract?	
2	\$	
3	Please proceed to question number 7.	
4	BREACH OF THE DUTY OF FAIR REPRESENTATION	
5	(7) Did Sequoia Hospital violate the terms of the collective bargaining agreement between	
6	Stationary Engineers Local 39 and Sequoia Hospital?	
7	Yes No	
8 9	If you answered "Yes" to question number 7, proceed to question number 8. If you answered "No" to question number 7, proceed to question number 11.	
10		
11	(8) Did Charles Loft prove that Stationary Engineers Local 39 failed to fairly represent Charles Loft's best interests in attempting to remedy the violation of the collective bargaining	
12	agreement?	
13	Yes No	
14	If you answered "Yes" to question number 8, proceed to question number 9. If you answered "No" to question number 8, proceed to question number 11.	
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	(9) Did Charles Loft prove that Stationary Engineers Local 39 acted in bad faith or in an arbitrary or discriminatory manner when it failed to represent Charles Loft's best interest?	
17	Yes No	
18	If you answered "Yes" to question number 9, proceed to question number 10. If you	
19	answered "No" to question number 9, proceed to question number 11.	
20	(10) What are Charles Loft's damages, if any, for breach of the duty of fair representation?	
21	\$	
22	Please proceed to question number 11.	
23	T teuse proceed to question number 11.	
24		
25		
26		

## Northern District of California United States District Court

1

## INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

2	(11) Did Charles Loft prove that Stationary Engineers Local 39's conduct was outrageous?		
3	Yes No		
4	1 es No		
5	If you answered "Yes" to question number 11, proceed to question number 12. If you answered "No" to question number 11, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the		
6	presiding juror sign and date this form and notify the bailiff.		
7 8	(12) Did Charles Loft prove that Stationary Engineers Local 39 intended to cause him emotional distress or that Stationary Engineers Local 39 acted with reckless disregard of the probability that he would suffer emotional distress?		
9	Yes No		
10	If you answered "Yes" to question number 12, proceed to question number 13. If you		
11	answered "No" to question number 12, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form and notify the bailiff.		
12			
13	(13) Did Charles Loft prove that he suffered emotional distress?		
14	Yes No		
15	If you answered "Yes" to question number 13, proceed to question number 14. If you		
16	answered "No" to question number 13, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form and notify the bailiff.		
17	(14) Did Charles Loft prove that Stationary Engineers Local 39's conduct was a		
18	substantial factor in causing his severe emotional distress?		
19	Yes No		
20	If you answered "Yes" to question number 14, proceed to question number 15. If you		
21	answered "No" to question number 14, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form and notify the bailiff.		
22			
23	(15) What are Charles Loft's damages, if any, for the intentional infliction of emotional distress?		
24	\$		
25	Have the presiding juror sign and date this verdict form and notify the bailiff.		
26	Signed: Date:		
27			
20	PRESIDING JUROR		