

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:14-cv-01379-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:14-cv-01385-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:14-cv-02359-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:14-cv-02360-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

KYOCERA CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:14-cv-02894-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

KYOCERA CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:14-cv-02895-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZTE CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:15-cv-00165-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZTE CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:15-cv-00166-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

1 ADAPTIX, INC.,)
)
 2 Plaintiff,)
)
 3 v.)
)
 4 ZTE CORPORATION, et al.,)
)
 5 Defendants.)

Case No. 5:15-cv-00167-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

6 ADAPTIX, INC.,)
)
 7 Plaintiff,)
)
 8 v.)
)
 9 ZTE CORPORATION, et al.,)
)
 10 Defendants.)

Case No. 5:15-cv-00168-PSG
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

11 Plaintiff Adaptix, Inc. claims Defendants Amazon.com, Inc., Sony Mobile
 12 Communications, Inc., HTC Corporation, Kyocera Corporation, ZTE Corporation, Verizon
 13 Wireless, Dell, Inc., AT&T Mobility LLC and Sprint Spectrum L.P. infringe United States Patent
 14 Nos. 6,947,748 and 7,454,212. Consistent with Pat. L.R. 4-3(c), the parties seek construction of
 15 one claim term.¹ The parties appeared for a hearing on the matters at hand earlier today. To avoid
 16 unnecessary delay, the court proceeds to issue its construction without its full reasoning and
 17 analysis:

PATENT NO.	CLAIM TERM/PHRASE	CONSTRUCTION
'12	"continuously monitors"	"checks without interruption"

21 The parties should rest assured that the court arrived at these constructions with a full
 22 appreciation of not only the relevant intrinsic and extrinsic evidence, but also the Federal Circuit's
 23 teaching in *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*,² and its progeny. So that the parties may pursue whatever
 24 recourse they believe is necessary, a complete opinion will issue before entry of any judgment.

25 ¹ See Case No. 14-cv-01379: Docket No. 139. Pursuant to the parties' agreement, the court adopts
 26 by reference its ruling on claim construction from the "Wave 1" cases. See Case No. 13-cv-01776-
 27 PSG: Docket No. 158. The parties do not waive their right to appeal those constructions by
 28 agreeing to have them apply in these "Wave 2" cases.

² 415 F.3d 1303, 1312-15 (Fed. Cir. 2005).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 14, 2015


PAUL S. GREWAL
United States Magistrate Judge