

1 **[[Counsel Listed on Signature Block]**

2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
4 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

4 ADAPTIX, INC.,)
5 Plaintiff,)

) Case No.: 5:14-cv-01380-PSG

6 v.)

) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

7 BLACKBERRY LIMITED,)
8 BLACKBERRY CORPORATION, and)
9 AT&T MOBILITY LLC,)
Defendants.)

10 ADAPTIX, INC.,)
11 Plaintiff,)

) Case No.: 5:14-cv-01386-PSG

12 v.)

) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

13 BLACKBERRY LIMITED,)
14 BLACKBERRY CORPORATION,)
15 T-MOBILE USA, INC.,)
16 MetroPCS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)
and MetroPCS WIRELESS, INC.,)
Defendants.)

17 ADAPTIX, INC.,)
18 Plaintiff,)

) Case No.: 5:14-01387-PSG

19 v.)

) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

20 BLACKBERRY LIMITED,)
21 BLACKBERRY CORPORATION, and)
22 CELLCO PARTNERSHIP)
d/b/a/ VERIZON WIRELESS,)
23 Defendants.)

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR DISMISSAL**

2

3 Before the Court is a Joint Motion for Dismissal submitted by Adaptix, Inc. (“Adaptix”),

4 Defendants BlackBerry Limited, BlackBerry Corporation (together, “BlackBerry”); AT&T Mobility

5 LLC; Cellco Partnership *d/b/a* Verizon Wireless; T-Mobile USA, Inc. *f/k/a* MetroPCS Wireless, Inc.;

6 and MetroPCS Communications, Inc. (all collectively, “Defendants”). Having considered the Motion,

7 the Court GRANTS the Motion and dismisses the three above-captioned actions WITHOUT

8 PREJUDICE pursuant to a written agreement signed and effective on October 15, 2014 between

9 Adaptix, on the one hand, and BlackBerry, on the other hand, concerning the BlackBerry products at

10 issue in the three above-captioned actions. Each party to bear its own costs, expenses and attorneys’


11 fees associated with filing the dismissal.

12

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14

15 DATED: October 24, 2014


HON. Paul S. Grewal
United States Magistrate Judge