

1 2

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING E-DISCOVERY IN PATENT CASES

Upon the stipulation of the parties, the Court ORDERS as follows:

3 1. This order supplements all other discovery rules and orders. It streamlines
4 Electronically Stored Information ("ESI") production to promote a "just, speedy, and inexpensive
5 determination" of this action, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1.

6 2. This order may be modified in the court's discretion or by agreement of the parties.
7 If the parties cannot resolve their disagreements regarding any such modifications, the parties shall
8 submit their competing proposals and a summary of their dispute.

9 3. A party's meaningful compliance with this order and efforts to promote efficiency
10 and reduce costs will be considered in cost-shifting determinations.

4. Absent a showing of good cause, general ESI production requests under Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure 34 and 45, or compliance with a mandatory disclosure requirement of
this Court, shall not include metadata. However, fields showing the date and time that the
document was sent and received, as well as the complete distribution list, shall generally be
included in the production if such fields exist.

16 5. Absent agreement of the parties or further order of this court, the following
17 parameters shall apply to ESI production:

- 18 A. General Document Image Format. Each electronic document shall be produced 19 in Portable Document Format ("PDF") or single-page Tagged Image File Format 20 ("TIFF") format. Each hard copy document shall be scanned and produced in PDF 21 or single-page TIFF format. TIFF files shall be single page and shall be named 22 with a unique production number followed by the appropriate file extension. PDF 23 files shall be named with a unique production number followed by the appropriate file extension. Concordance load files with opticon shall be provided to indicate 24 25 the location and unitization of the PDF or TIFF files. If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and any attachments and/or affixed notes 26 27 shall be maintained as they existed in the original document.
 - B. **Text-Searchable Documents**. No party has an obligation to make its production

text-searchable; however, if a party's documents already exist in text-searchable format independent of this litigation, or are converted to text-searchable format for use in this litigation, including for use by the producing party's counsel, then such documents shall be produced in the same text-searchable format at no cost to the receiving party. A party may produce extracted-text text files to correspond with Concordance load files and the produced PDF or TIFF files in lieu of producing text-searchable documents. C. Footer. Each document image shall contain a footer with a sequentially ascending production number. D. **Native Files.** A party that receives a document produced in a format specified above may make a reasonable request to receive the document in its native format, and upon receipt of such a request, the producing party shall produce the document in its native format. A Party may produce a document only in its native format, to the extent such production of the native format document will not hamper the other Party's review and is in compliance with the other requirements in this Order. E. No Backup Restoration Required. Absent a showing of good cause, no party need restore any form of media upon which backup data is maintained in a party's normal or allowed processes, including but not limited to backup tapes, disks, SAN, and other forms of media, to comply with its discovery obligations in the

present case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

F. Voicemail, Instant Messages, and Mobile Devices. Absent a showing of good
cause, voicemails, instant messages, PDAs and mobile phones are deemed not
reasonably accessible and need not be collected and preserved.

24G.Limited Number of ESI Custodians. Each Party shall identify ESI custodians25most likely to have discoverable information in their possession, custody, or control26in view of the pleaded claims and defenses, infringement contentions and27accompanying documents pursuant to P.R. 3-1 and 3-2, invalidity contentions and28accompanying documents pursuant to P.R. 3-3 and 3-4, and preliminary

information relevant to damages. Each party¹ shall specifically identify five (5) ESI 1 2 custodians. These lists are subject to revision or supplementation. The parties may 3 jointly agree to modify this limit without the court's leave. The court shall consider contested requests for additional or fewer custodians per producing party, upon 4 5 showing a distinct need based on the size, complexity, and issues of this specific 6 case. The specific identification of ESI custodians shall include the name and title 7 of the custodian, the custodian's role in the instant dispute, the subject matter of the 8 information likely to be in the custodian's possession, and a short description of 9 why the custodian is believed to be significant. An "ESI custodian," as used herein, 10 does not include common repositories where ESI is stored, such as, without limitation, technical document repositories, license agreement repositories, source 11 12 code repositories, network drives that are shared by numerous individuals, financial 13 databases, etc. This Stipulation has no effect on the Parties' document production 14 obligations, if any, regarding such common repositories. For the avoidance of doubt, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, a Party need not choose 15 16 as an "ESI custodian" a repository where relevant and discoverable materials are 17 stored in order for those materials to be discoverable. 18 6. General ESI production requests under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 34 and 45, 19 or compliance with a mandatory disclosure order of this court, shall not include e-mail or other forms of electronic correspondence (collectively "e-mail"). To obtain e-mail parties must 2021 propound specific e-mail production requests. 22 7. E-mail production requests shall be phased to occur timely after the parties have 23 exchanged initial disclosures, a specific listing of likely e-mail custodians, a specific identification 24 25 26 ¹ For purposes of this Order, any defendants in the above-captioned actions who are related 27 corporate entities (e.g., parent and subsidiary) count as one party. 28

of the most significant listed e-mail custodians in view of the pleaded claims and defenses,²
infringement contentions and accompanying documents pursuant to P.R. 3-1 and 3-2, invalidity
contentions and accompanying documents pursuant to P.R. 3-3 and 3-4, and preliminary
information relevant to damages. The exchange of this information shall occur at the time
required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules, or by order of the court.

6 8. E-mail production requests shall identify the custodian, search terms, and time
7 frame. The parties shall cooperate to identify the proper custodians, proper search terms, and
8 proper time frame. Each requesting party shall limit its e-mail production requests to a total of
9 five custodians per producing party. The parties may jointly agree to modify this limit without the
10 court's leave. The court shall consider contested requests for additional or fewer custodians per
11 producing party, upon showing a distinct need based on the size, complexity, and issues of this
12 specific case.

13 9. Each requesting party shall limit its e-mail production requests to a total of seven 14 search terms per custodian per party. The parties may jointly agree to modify this limit without 15 the court's leave. The court shall consider contested requests for additional or fewer search terms 16 per custodian, upon showing a distinct need based on the size, complexity, and issues of this 17 specific case. The search terms shall be narrowly tailored to particular issues. Indiscriminate terms, such as the producing company's name or its product name, are inappropriate unless 18 19 combined with narrowing search criteria that sufficiently reduce the risk of overproduction. A conjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" and "system") narrows 20 21 the search and shall count as a single search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" or "system") broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall 22 count as a separate search term unless they are variants of the same word. Use of narrowing 23 search criteria (e.g., "and," "but not," "w/x") is encouraged to limit the production and shall be 24 25 considered when determining whether to shift costs for disproportionate discovery.

26

 $[\]begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}^2$ A "specific identification" requires a short description of why the custodian is believed to be significant.

1	10. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), the inadvertent production of a		
2	privileged or work product protected ESI is not a waiver in the pending case or in any other		
3	federal or state proceeding.		
4	11. The mere production of ESI in a litigation as part of a mass production shall not		
5	itself constitute a waiver for any purpose.		
6	12. Except as expressly stated, nothing in this order affects the parties' discovery		
7	obligations under the Federal or Local Rules, or this Court's Discovery Order.		
8			
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	Dated: November 7, 2014		
11	PAUL S. GREWAL United States Magistrate Judge		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26 27			
27 28			
20			
	-6- Case Nos. 5:14-cv-02359, -02360, -02894, -02895 [PROPOSED] ESI ORDER		

1		
	Dated: November 7, 2014	Respectfully submitted,
2		/s/ Paul J. Hayes
3		Paul J. Hayes (pro hac vice)
4		Kevin Gannon (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
5		Steven E. Lipman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) James J. Foster (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
		HAYES MESSINA GILMAN & HAYES LLC
6		200 State Street, 6th Floor
7		Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 345-6900
8		Facsimile: (617) 443-1999
		Email: phayes@hayesmessina.com
9		Email: kgannon@hayesmessina.com
10		Email: slipman@hayesmessina.com Email: jfoster@hayesmessina.com
11		
		Christopher D. Banys Richard C Lin
12		Jennifer L. Gilbert
13		BANYS, P.C.
14		1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100 Palo Alto, CA 04303
		Telephone: (650) 308-8505
15		Facsimile: (650) 353-2202
16		Email: cdb@banyspc.com
17		Email: rcl@banyspc.com Email: jlg@banyspc.com
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff ADAPTIX, INC.
19		ADAF HA, INC.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-7- Case Nos. 5:14-cv-02359, -02360, -02894, -02895 [PROPOSED] ESI ORDER
		[PROPOSED] ESI ORDER

1	Dated: November 7, 2014	/s/ David Eiseman
		David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758)
2		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
3		SULLIVAN, LLP
		50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
4		Telephone: (415) 875-6600
5		Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
6		Email: davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com
7		Ryan S. Goldstein (Bar No. 208444)
		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
8		SULLIVAN, LLP NBF Hibiya Building, 25F
9		1-1-7, Uchisaiwai-cho, Chiyoda-ku
		Tokyo 100-0011, Japan
10		Telephone: +81 3 5510 1711
11		Facsimile: +81 3 5510 1712
		Email: ryangoldstein@quinnemanuel.com
12		Attorneys for Defendant KYOCERA
13		COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
14		
	Dated: November 7, 2014	/s/ Mark W. McGrory
15		Mark W. McGrory (pro hac vice)
16		Lawrence A. Rouse (pro hac vice)
17		ROUSE HENDRICKS GERMAN MAY PC
1/		1201 Walnut, 20th Floor Kanaga City, MO (410)
18		Kansas City, MO 64106 Telephone: (650) 858-6000
19		Facsimile: (650) 858-6100
17		MarkM@rhgm.com
20		LarryR@rhgm.com
21		Nathaniel Bruno
22		SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
23		Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
		San Francisco, CA 94111
24		Telephone: (415) 434-9100
25		Facsimile: (415) 434-3947 nbruno@sheppardmullin.com
26		
27		Attorneys for Defendant SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P.
28		
		-8- Case Nos. 5:14-cv-02359, -02360, -02894, -02895 [PROPOSED] ESI ORDER
		I FROFOSEDI ESI OKDEK

1	Dated: November 7, 2014	/s/ Geoffrey M. Godfrey	
2		Mark D. Flanagan (SBN 130303) mark.flanagan@wilmerhale.com	
3		Robert M. Galvin (SBN 171508)	
		robert.galvin@wilmerhale.com Geoffrey M. Godfrey (SBN 228735)	
4		geoff.godfrey@wilmerhale.com	
5		Cortney C. Hoecherl (SBN 245005) cortney.hoecherl@wilmerhale.com	
6		WILMER CUTLER PICKERING	
7		HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road	
8		Palo Alto, CA 94304	
9		Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100	
		racsinine. (050) 858-0100	
10		Attorneys for Defendant CELLCO PARTNERSHIP <i>d/b/a</i>	
11		VERIZON WIRELESS	
12			
13			
14	ATTESTATION OF	CONCURRENCE IN FILING	
15	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), Iman Lordgooei attests that concurrence in the		
16	filing of this document has been obtained from each of the Signatories listed above.		
17	7	/s/ Iman Lordgooei	
18	3	Iman Lordgooei	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		-9- Case Nos. 5:14-cv-02359, -02360, -02894, -02895	
		[PROPOSED] ESI ORDER	