1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
6		
7	THINK COMPUTER FOUNDATION, et al.,	Case No. <u>14-cv-02396-BLF</u>
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	v.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION'S
10	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS, et al.,	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT
11	Defendants.	[Re: Dkt. No. 11]
12	Defense the Count is Defendent American Den Association? - User more different of	
13	Before the Court is Defendant American Bar Association's Unopposed Motion for	
14	Extension of Time to Respond to Plaintiff's Complaint. Finding good cause thereby, the Court	
15	hereby grants Defendant's Motion.	
16	The Court notes that Defendant has filed this unopposed Motion, rather than merely	
17	stipulating to an extension of time with opposing counsel, because of its belief that Plaintiff is	
18	currently not represented by counsel. (See ECF 11 at 1) Defendant has sought and received	
19	permission from Aaron Greenspan, whom Defendant believes is improperly representing Plaintiff	
20	in the action by virtue of not being a licensed attorney in the State of California or elsewhere, to	
21	extend its time to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint. (Id. at 2)	
22	The American Bar Association's deadline to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint is hereby	
23	extended from June 23, 2014, to the date upon which the remaining Defendants are required to	
24	respond, which is currently no earlier than August 4, 2014.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: June 11, 2014	
27	HON. BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge	
28		
	11	

United States District Court Northern District of California