1	STEVE M. DEFILIPPIS, SBN117292		
2	STEVE M. DEFILIPPIS, SBN117292 FlipsSMD@Yahoo.com ANDREW VELAZQUEZ, SBN 278047 Avelazquez@pdaplc.com PICONE & DEFILIPPIS, A P.L.C. 625 N. First St. San Jose, CA 95112 P: (408) 292-0441		
3			
4			
5	F: (408) 292-0441 F: (408) 287-6550		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	SAMMY CUEVAS		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	SAMMY CUEVAS,	CASE NO. C 14-02540 BLF	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND	
13		[PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY CASE	
14	V.	CASE	
15	CAMPBELL PEACE OFFICER ASSOCIATIONS' PORAC LDF PLAN,	Location: Courtroom 3 Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman	
16	PEACE OFFICERS RESEARCH	Judge. Hon. Ben Labson Freeman	
17	ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA LEGAL		
18	DEFENSE FUND, and DOES 1-10.		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
22	In furtherance of judicial economy and to avoid potentially unnecessary expense,		
23	the undersigned counsel for and on behalf of Plaintiff SAMMY CUEVAS ("Plaintiff")		
24	and Defendant PEACE OFFICERS RESEARCH ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA		
25	LEGAL DEFENSE FUND ("PORAC LDF" or the "Fund") (collectively, the "parties")		
26	wish to stay all proceedings in this case ("Civil Action") pending Plaintiff's completion		
27	of the Fund's administrative claims procedures. Accordingly, Plaintiff and PORAC LDF		
28	by and through their respective counsel hereby STIPULATE and AGREE as follows:		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY CASE; CASE NO. C 14-02540 BLF

WHEREAS Plaintiff filed his initial Complaint in this action with the Santa Clara County Superior Court on May 10, 2012, and subsequently filed a First Amended Complaint on June 8, 2012;

WHEREAS Plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint on April 8, 2014, which was served on the PORAC LDF on May 10, 2014;

WHEREAS PORAC LDF removed the Civil Action to this Court under federal question jurisdiction;

WHEREAS PORAC LDF filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint on June 10, 2014, which argued that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies under the LDF's internal claims and appeals procedure;

WHEREAS Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint was due on or about June 24, 2014;

WHEREAS PORAC LDF filed a Response in support of their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint on July 1, 2014;

WHEREAS Plaintiff filed a Motion to Set Aside Default on July 2, 2014;

WHEREAS the parties have discussed and agree that Plaintiff will return to the administrative process to seek a final determination of his claim under the Fund;

WHEREAS the parties request a stay of all the proceedings in this matter at the present time, including hearings, briefings, appearances, and any other deadlines imposed by law or the Court, pending the Fund's final determination of Plaintiff's administrative claim;

WHEREAS the parties further stipulate and agree that they will withdraw their respective pending motions should the Court grant the stay;

WHEREAS the parties further stipulate and agree that the stay requested herein is not requested for the purpose of delay and will not result in any prejudice to the parties or the Court:

IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED by Plaintiff and PORAC LDF, by and thorough their respective counsel, that the Court is respectfully requested to order that:

- 1. This action is hereby stayed pending the Fund's final determination of the Plaintiff's claim through the Fund's administrative claims process; all existing case deadlines, including the deadline (1) to meet and confer regarding initial disclosures (9/30/14), (2) to file the ADR Certification (9/30/14), (3) to file a Stipulation to ADR Process (9/30/14), (4) to complete Initial Disclosures, (5) to file a Rule 26(f) report (10/30/14), and (5) for the Case Management Conference (11/6/14), are taken off calendar;
- 2. PORAC LDF's MOTION to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint (Docket Item No. 9) is WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE;
- 3. Plaintiff's Motion to Set Aside Default (Docket Item No. 11) is WITHDRAWN AS MOOT;
- 4. Due to what may be a period of extended inactivity while the administrative claims process is completed, the clerk of the court shall administratively close the file; and
- 5. The Plaintiff shall provide notice to the Court within one week at the conclusion of the administrative claims process. In that notice, the Plaintiff shall state whether or not this matter should be reopened and a whether a case management conference should be scheduled.

1		TICCO CTIDIU ATED		
2	1	T IS SO STIPULATED.		
3	Dated:	July 24, 2014	PICONE & DEFILIPPIS, A P.L.C.	
5			DV. //G/ M D Cl' '	
6			BY: <u>/s/ Steve M. Defilippis</u> Steve M. Defilippis	
7			Andrew Velazquez Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8			SAMMY CUEVAS	
9	;			
10	Dated:	July 24, 2014	TRUCKER ♦ HUSS	
11				
12			BY: <u>/s/ Clarissa A. Kang</u> R. Bradford Huss	
13			Clarissa A. Kang	
14			Michelle S. Lewis Attorneys for Defendants	
15			PEACE OFFICERS RESEARCH	
16			ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA LEGAL DEFENSE FUND	
17			LEGAL DEFENSE FOND	
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED			
19		,		
20	Dated:		Both Labur meman	
21	Dated		HON. BETH LABSON FREEMAN	
22			United States District Judge	
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	i			
		A		
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY CASE; CASE NO. C 14-02540 BL		