

1 Sara B. Brody, SBN 130222  
 sbrody@sidley.com  
 2 Nicole M. Ryan, SBN 175980  
 nicole.ryan@sidley.com  
 3 Ryan G. Fant, SBN 274153  
 rfant@sidley.com  
 4 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
 555 California Street, Suite 2000  
 5 San Francisco, California 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 772-1200  
 6 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

7 Attorneys for Defendants  
 STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. and  
 8 STMICROELECTRONICS S.R.L.

9  
 10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 12 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

13 CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,  
 Plaintiff,  
 14  
 v.  
 15 STMICROELECTRONICS, INC., and  
 16 STMICROELECTRONICS, S.R.L.,  
 17 Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-03236-RMW

**STIPULATION AND [ ] ORDER  
 SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON  
 DEFENDANT STMICROELECTRONICS  
 S.R.L.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR  
 LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION**

Date: August 28, 2015  
 Time: 9:00 a.m.  
 Place: Courtroom 6, 4th Floor  
 Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte

1 Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant STMicroelectronics S.r.l.  
2 (“Defendant,” and collectively, “the parties”), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby  
3 stipulate as follows:

4 WHEREAS, on June 15, 2015, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal  
5 Jurisdiction (“Motion”), noticed for hearing on August 28, 2015;

6 WHEREAS, under Civil L.R. 7-3, the due date for Plaintiff to file an Opposition to the  
7 Motion would be June 29, 2015, and the due date for Defendant to file a Reply in support of the  
8 Motion would then be July 6, 2015;

9 WHEREAS, the parties agree that extending the deadlines for the Opposition and Reply to  
10 the Motion would allow the parties to fully brief the issues and provide the Court with sufficient  
11 time to evaluate the motion papers prior to the hearing;

12 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the  
13 undersigned, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

14 The briefing schedule on the Motion will be as follows:

- 15 ○ Plaintiff’s Opposition to the Motion Due July 14, 2015
- 16 ○ Defendant’s Reply in support of the Motion Due August 3, 2015

17  
18  
19 Dated: June 17, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

By: */s/ Nicole M. Ryan*

Nicole M. Ryan

Attorneys for Defendants  
STMicroelectronics, Inc. and  
STMicroelectronics S.r.l.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: June 17, 2015

Respectfully submitted,  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

By: /s/ Krista M. Enns  
Krista M. Enns

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Cisco Systems, Inc.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June

