

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

CISCO SYSTEMS INC,
Plaintiff,
v.
STMICROELECTRONICS INC, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 5:14-cv-03236-RMW

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SEAL

Re: Dkt. No. 146

Before the court is Cisco’s administrative motion to seal three exhibits to Cisco’s February 1, 2016 letter brief on discovery. Because the information sought to be sealed was designated confidential by STMicroelectronics S.r.l, ST Micro filed a declaration in support of sealing. Dkt. No. 150. Applying the standards set forth in *Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096-98 (9th Cir. 2016), the court rules on the instant motion as follows:

<u>Motion to Seal</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed</u>	<u>Ruling</u>
146	Ex. A (146-4)	GRANTED as narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
146	Ex. B (146-6)	GRANTED as narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

<u>Motion to Seal</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed</u>	<u>Ruling</u>
146	Ex. C (146-8)	GRANTED as narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 26, 2016



Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Judge