

	1	Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Defendant STMicroelectronics, Inc.			
	2	("Defendant," together with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel,			
	3	hereby stipulate as follows:			
	4	WHEREAS, on June 13, 2014, Plaintiff filed the Complaint against Defendant in Santa Clara			
	5	Superior Court;			
	6	WHEREAS, on July 17, 2014, Defendant removed the action to the United States District			
	7	Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division;			
	8	WHEREAS, on July 24, 2014, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss and a special motion to			
	9	strike ("Motions") in response to the Complaint with an agreed-upon hearing date of September 19,			
	10	2014;			
	11	WHEREAS, the Court entered the Parties' stipulation and proposed order extending the			
	12	deadlines for the Oppositions and Replies;			
	13	WHEREAS, Plaintiff intends to amend the complaint;			
	14	WHEREAS, because Plaintiff is amending the complaint, it would be a waste of party and			
	15	judicial resources for the parties to continue briefing and for the Court to hear the pending Motions;			
	16	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the			
2	17	Parties, through their respective undersigned counsel, that the Court should find that good cause			
	18	exists to enter an order that:			
	19	1. Defendant's Motions shall be withdrawn without prejudice and the Motions shall be			
	20	taken off calendar;			
	21	2. Plaintiff shall file its amended complaint no later than August 29, 2014;			
	22	3. Plaintiff's amended complaint will not add any new parties or claims; and			
	23	///			
	24	///			
	25	///			
	26	///			
	27	///			
	28	///			
		1			
		STIPULATION AND] ORDER RE SCHEDULING			

Winston & Strawn LLP 101 California Street an Francisco, CA 94111-5802

Winston & Strawn LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, CA 94111-5802	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	4. The Parties shall agree on an appropriate deadline for Defendant to file its motions or other pleadings in response to the amended complaint and an appropriate briefing schedule should Defendant file any motions in response to the amended complaint. Dated: August 18, 2014 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP By: <u>/k/Krista M. Enns</u> Krista M. Enns Attorneys for Plaintiff CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. Dated: August 18, 2014 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP By: <u>/k/Nicole Ryan</u> Miccole Ryan Attorneys for Defendant STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Konstantery Structure Structure United States District Judge LOCAL RULE 5-1 ATTESTATION I, Krista M. Enns, am the ECF User whose ID and password was used to file this STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE SCHEDULING. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that, counsel for Defendant, concurred in this filing.
		KUNALD M. WHYTE
	20	
	24 25	5-1(1)(5), 1 hereby allest that, counsel for Defendant, concurred in this filling.
	26	Dated: August 18, 2014 By: <u>/s/ Krista M. Enns</u> Krista M. Enns
	27	
	28	
		2 STIPLU ATION AND LLORDER RESCHEDULING
		STIPULATION AND [] ORDER RE SCHEDULING