1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Norman J. Blears (SBN 95600) nblears@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 1 Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 565-7000 Robin E. Wechkin (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) rwechkin@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200 Seattle, Washington Telephone: (206) 262-7680 Michael L. Charlson (SBN 122125) <u>mcharlson@velaw.com</u> VINSON & ELKINS LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 2750 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 979-6910	
12	Attorneys for Defendants	
13		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15		
16		
17	SAN JOS	SE DIVISION
18		
19	MARY JANE JASIN and THOMAS JASIN,) Case No.: 5:14-CV-03263 BLF
20	Plaintiffs,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
21	VS.	 ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFFS' RULE
22	VIVUS, INC., LELAND F. WILSON, TIMOTHY MORRIS, and PETER Y. TAM) 54(B) MOTION
23	Defendants.	
24		_)
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED-ORD	ER RE PLAINTIFFS' RULE 54(B) MOTION
		5:14-cv-03263
		Dockets.Just

STIPULATION

WHEREAS:

The plaintiffs in this action filed their Second Amended Complaint on August 14, 1. 2015. The parties have stipulated to, and the Court has approved, a schedule pursuant to which defendants' response is due on October 2, 2015, and, if defendants' response takes the form of a motion to dismiss, the opposition to and reply in support of that motion are due November 20, 2015 and December 15, 2015 respectively. Dkt # 34.

2. Defendants' motion to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint is scheduled on the Court's calendar for hearing on January 14, 2016.

3. On September 10, 2015, plaintiffs filed a motion for entry of partial judgment under Rule 54(b). Dkt. # 35. Defendants intend to oppose that motion. Plaintiffs have scheduled their Rule 54(b) motion for hearing on the Court's calendar on January 14, 2016, the same date defendants' motion to dismiss is scheduled to be heard.

4. The parties believe the interests of efficiency will be best served if briefing on the plaintiffs' Rule 54(b) motion proceeds according to the same schedule as briefing on defendants' motion to dismiss, particularly as the two motions are scheduled for hearing on the same date.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE PARTIES THEREFORE STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

1. Defendants' opposition to plaintiffs' Rule 54(b) motion should be filed on or before November 20, 2015.

2. Plaintiffs' reply in support of their Rule 54(b) motion should be filed on or before December 15, 2015.

Dated: September 18, 2015

By: s/ Norman J. Blears

Norman J. Blears SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

Counsel for Defendants

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE PLAINTIFFS' RULE 54(B) MOTION CASE NO. 5:14-CV-03263

1

1	Dated: September 18, 2015	By: s/ Rosemary M. Rivas	
2		Rosemary M. Rivas	
3		Rosemary M. Rivas Finkelstein Thompson LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 398-8700	
4		Telephone: (415) 398-8700	
5 6		L. Kendall Satterfield Michael G. McClellan	
7		Rosalee B.C. Thomas Finkelstein Thompson LLP	
8		Finkelstein Thompson LLP 1077 30 th St NW, Suite 150 Washington, D.C. 20007 Telephone: (202) 337-8000	
9		Counsel for Plaintiffs	
10		5 55	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		2	
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE PLAINTIFFS' RULE 54(B) MOTION CASE NO. 5:14-CV-03263		
		CASE NO. 5.17 CY 05205	

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation above, the Court orders as follows:	
3	1. Defendants' opposition to plaintiffs' Rule 54(b) motion is due November 20, 2015.	
4	 Plaintiffs' reply in support of their Rule 54(b) motion is due December 15, 2015. 	
5		
6		
7	Beth fellen heeman	
8	Dated: September 21, 2015HON. BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
24		
23 26		
20		
28		
	3 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE RESPONSE TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT	
	CASE NO. 5:14-CV-03263	