1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	SAN JOSE DIVISION
6	
7	KHALID MOHAMMAD, Case No. <u>14-cv-03837-BLF</u>
8	Plaintiff,
9	v. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
10	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS and DOE-AO, DOE-PD, DOE-DA, and DOE-CDC,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	
14	TO PLAINTIFF KHALID MOHAMMAD:
15	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE IN WRITING, by no later than
16	June 12, 2015, why this case should not be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
17	41(b) for failure to prosecute.
18	On February 18, 2015, this Court granted the Motion to Dismiss by defendant California
19	Department of Corrections and dismissed that defendant from the case. Order, ECF 16. The
20	Court did not dismiss pro se plaintiff Khalid Mohammad's case entirely, finding that Plaintiff
21	should have an opportunity to identify and serve the Doe Defendants he alleged in his complaint.
22	Id. at 2, 6. To date, Plaintiff has not identified any of the Doe Defendants, nor has he
23	communicated to the Court that he needs more time.
24	On April 9, 2015, the Court held an Initial Case Management Conference. Plaintiff did not
25	appear, nor did he file a case management statement with the Court.
26	Plaintiff is therefore required to file a written response by June 12, 2015 explaining why
27	this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute, and/or why he has not identified any of
28	the Doe Defendants or otherwise communicated with the Court regarding the status of the case.

United States District Court Northern District of California

1	No hearing will be held on the Order to Show Cause unless otherwise ordered by the Court.
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated: April 10, 2015
4 5	BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
28	