UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
ATAIN SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,	Case No. 14-cv-04056-RMW
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT; DENYING-IN-PART MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT
NORTH BAY WATERPROOFING, INC., et al.,	AGAINST DOUGLAS ROSS CORPORATION
Defendants.	Re: Dkt. Nos. 20, 23
On October 20, 2014 the clerk of court of	entered default against defendant Douglas Ross
Corporation ("DRC"). Dkt No. 16. Plaintiff Ata	in Specialty Insurance subsequently moved for entry
• · · ·	DRC now moves to set aside the entry of default

against DRC.¹ Dkt. No 23. DRC's request is unopposed.

A court may set aside an entry of default for good cause. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(c). Prior to entry of a default judgment, a court's discretion is especially broad in determining whether to set aside entry of default. Mendoza v. Wight Vineyward Management, 793 F.3d 951, 945 (9th Cir. 1896). Moreover, doubt is resolved in favor of setting aside defaults so that cases may be decided on their merits. O'Connor v. State of Nevada, 27 F.3d 357, 364 (9th Cir. 1994).

26

For the Northern District of California

United States District Court

1

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

Here, DRC states that its failure to respond in this case was due to a miscommunication with

1 DRC does not seek to set aside the default entered against North Bay Waterproofing. See Dkt. No. 28 19. North Bay remains in default, and the court's order does not affect Attain's motion for default judgment as to defendant North Bay. See Dkt. No. 20. ORDER SETTING ASIDE DEFAULT Case No. 14-CV-04056 - 1 -**EDB**

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

its insurer. See Dkt. No. 23, at 3. Having considered DRC's motion and papers submitted in support, the court finds DRC has shown good cause to set aside the default. The court therefore GRANTS DRC's motion, and the entry of default by the clerk shall be set aside. Accordingly, plaintiff Atain Specialty Insurance Company's motion for default judgment is DENIED as to DRC. See Dkt. No. 20.

DRC has 20 days to file a response to the complaint.

Dated: January 12, 2015

nald M. Whyte

RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge