1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	ROBERT A. NITSCH, et al.,	Case No. 14-CV-4062-LHK
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
14	V.	RELIEF FROM NONDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE
15	DREAMWORKS ANIMATION SKG INC., et al.,	JUDGE Re: Dkt. No. 339
16	Defendants.	Re. DR. 110. 337
17		
18	On October 31, 2016, Defendants Two Pic MC LLC, Lucasfilm Ltd. LLC, Pixar, and The	
19	Walt Disney Company (collectively, "Defendants"), filed a motion for relief from a nondispositive	
20	pretrial order of Magistrate Judge Howard Lloyd. ECF No. 339. On November 9, 2016, Plaintiffs	
21	filed a response to the motion. ECF No. 342.	
22	Having reviewed the order, the briefing of the parties, the record in the case, and the	
23	relevant law, the Court finds that the nondispositive pretrial order is not "clearly erroneous or	
24	contrary to law." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). Therefore, the Court DENIES Defendants' motion for	
25	relief.	
26		
27		1
28	Case No. 14-CV-04062-LHK ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM NO JUDGE	NDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE

United States District Court Northern District of California

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 29, 2016 Jucy H. Koh LUCY HCKOH United States District Judge Case No. 14-CV-04062-LHK ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM NONDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE