United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	DEREK BRANDON PICARD,	Case No. 14-CV-4618
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STRIKE
14 15 16	ABC LEGAL SERVICES, INC., a Washington corporation, MARCOS ANTONIO TEJADA, individually and in his official capacity; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	[Re Docket No. 15]
17 18	Defendants.	
19	Plaintiff Derek Brandon Picard filed a motion to strike defendants' answer. Dkt. No. 15	
20	(motion). Instead of filing an opposition, defendants filed an amended answer on the day after the	
21	opposition to the motion to strike would have been due. Dkt. No. 17 (amended answer). Following	
22	receipt of defendants' amended answer, plaintiff filed a Statement of No Opposition Received,	
23	noting that the amended answer was still defective because it "continues to allege defenses which	
24	are not actually defenses, and continues to raise immaterial defenses." Dkt. No. 18 (statement)	
25	(footnotes omitted).	
26	Although defendants' answer was technically filed late and defendants should have sought	
27	leave of court to amend their answer, see Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a), the amended	
28	answer cures the pleading issues in the original answer. See Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550	
	ORDER ON MOTION TO STRIKE Case No. 14-CV-4618-RMW - 1 LRM - 1	-

U.S. 544 (2007) and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 566 U.S. 662 (2009). Although the amended answer may include improper negative defenses, further motion practice over the amended answer is unnecessary as striking the negative defenses will not preclude the defendants from arguing those defenses in the case. Because the answer meets the relevant pleading standards, plaintiff has fair notice of the relevant defenses. Accordingly, the court enters defendants' amended answer, Dkt. No. 17, and denies the motion to strike as moot, Dkt. No. 15.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 5, 2015

ald M. Whyte

Ronald M. Whyte United States District Judge

ORDER ON MOTION TO STRIKE Case No. 14-CV-4618-RMW LRM