28

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 SAN JOSE DIVISION 6 ALTERA CORPORATION, 7 Case No. 14-cv-04794-BLF Plaintiff, 8 v. 9 PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG, **CASES** 10 [Re: ECF 22] Defendant. 11 12 13 14 15 stipulate that the cases are related. See ECF 22-1 at 2. 16 undersigned would be forced to recuse herself in Xilinx. 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 Dated: February 13, 2015 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S STIPULATED MOTION TO RELATE

Plaintiff Altera Corporation moves to relate the above-captioned case with another case, Xilinx, Inc. v. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG, 14-cv-4963-RS. All parties to both actions

The Court, however, DENIES Plaintiff's request to relate the cases because the

United States District Judge