

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

NOVADAQ TECHNOLOGIES INC.,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

KARL STORZ GMBH & CO. KG et al.,)

Defendants.)

Case No. 5:14-cv-04853-PSG

ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL**(Re: Docket No. 189)**

Before the court is an administrative motion to seal various documents. “Historically, courts have recognized a ‘general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents.’”¹ Accordingly, when considering a sealing request, “a ‘strong presumption in favor of access’ is the starting point.”² Parties seeking to seal judicial records relating to dispositive motions bear the burden of overcoming the presumption with “compelling reasons” that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.³

¹ *Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n.7 (1978)).

² *Id.* (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)).

³ *Id.* at 1178-79.

1 However, “while protecting the public's interest in access to the courts, we must remain
2 mindful of the parties' right to access those same courts upon terms which will not unduly harm
3 their competitive interest.”⁴ Records attached to nondispositive motions therefore are not subject
4 to the strong presumption of access.⁵ Because the documents attached to nondispositive motions
5 “are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action,” parties moving
6 to seal must meet the lower “good cause” standard of Rule 26(c).⁶ As with dispositive motions, the
7 standard applicable to nondispositive motions requires a “particularized showing”⁷ that “specific
8 prejudice or harm will result” if the information is disclosed.⁸ “Broad allegations of harm,
9 unsubstantiated by specific examples of articulated reasoning” will not suffice.⁹ A protective order
10 sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court’s previous determination that good
11 cause exists to keep the documents sealed,¹⁰ but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to
12 designate confidential documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether
13 each particular document should remain sealed.¹¹

14
15 In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal
16 documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to
17 Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document

18
19 _____
20 ⁴ *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.*, 727 F.3d 1214, 1228-29 (Fed. Cir. 2013).

21 ⁵ *See id.* at 1180.

22 ⁶ *Id.* at 1179 (internal quotations and citations omitted).

23 ⁷ *Id.*

24 ⁸ *Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002);
see Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

25 ⁹ *Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int’l Ins. Co.*, 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

26 ¹⁰ *See Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179-80.

27 ¹¹ *See Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A)* (“Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to
28 designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or
portions thereof, are sealable.”).

1 is “sealable,” or “privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under
2 the law.” “The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and
3 must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d).”¹² “Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative
4 Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection
5 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable.”¹³

6 With these standards in mind, the court rules on the instant motions as follows:

<u>Motion to Seal</u>	<u>Document to be Sealed</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Reason/Explanation</u>
Docket No. 189-4	Exhibit 2 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-36)	Designations boxed in red SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 189-6	Exhibit 4 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-37)	Designations boxed in red SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 189-8	Exhibit 6 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-38)	Designations boxed in red SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 189-10	Exhibit 8 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-39)	Designations boxed in red SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 189-12	Exhibit 10 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-40)	Designations boxed in red SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 189-14	Exhibit 12 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-41)	Designations boxed in red SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 189-16	Exhibit 14 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-42)	Designations boxed in red SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

25 ¹² Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the submitting party to attach a “proposed
26 order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material” which “lists in table format each
27 document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed,” Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an
28 “unredacted version of the document” that indicates “by highlighting or other clear method, the
portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version.”
Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d).

¹³ Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).


1	Docket No. 189-18	Exhibit 16 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-43)	Designations boxed in red SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
2				
3	Docket No. 189-20	Exhibit 18 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-44)	Pages 6, 7, 15, 68-72 SEALED. Remainder UNSEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
4				
5				
6	Docket No. 189-22	Exhibit 20 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-45)	Pages 4, 18, 21, 38, 66, 67, 72, 78, 79 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
7				
8	Docket No. 189-25	Exhibit 22 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-46)	Pages 5, 6, 10, 11, 13, 16, 21, 26-30, 32, 37-40 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
9				
10	Docket No. 189-26	Exhibit 22 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-46)	Pages 1-2, 8, 15, 18, 19, 30, 35 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
11				
12	Docket No. 189-27	Exhibit 22 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-46)	Pages 3, 11, 17, 19 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
13				
14	Docket No. 189-31	Exhibit 24 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-47)	Pages 6, 7, 11, 12, 14, 17, 21, 25, 30, 31, 54-60, 63, 67-71, 73, 74 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
15				
16				
17	Docket No. 189-32	Exhibit 24 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-47)	Page 4 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
18				
19	Docket No. 189-33	Exhibit 24 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-47)	Pages 8-12, 15, 16, 21, 26, 30 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
20				
21	Docket No. 189-37	Exhibit 26 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-48)	Pages 7, 8, 11, 13, 16, 20, 24, 28, 29 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
22				
23	Docket No. 189-38	Exhibit 26 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-48)	Pages 22-28 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
24				
25	Docket No. 189-39	Exhibit 26 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-48)	Pages 2, 5-10, 13, 14, 17 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
26				
27	Docket No. 189-40	Exhibit 26 (previously submitted at Docket No.	Pages 7-12, 15, 21, 22, 26 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential
28				

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	180-48)		business information.
Docket No. 189-42	Exhibit 28 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-49)	Pages 5, 8, 12-17, 21-23, 25, 28-34, 38 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 189-43	Exhibit 28 (previously submitted at Docket No. 180-49)	Pages 18-23, 26, 32, 33, 37 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 22, 2015



PAUL S. GREWAL
United States Magistrate Judge