

E-Filed: April 10, 2014

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28United States District Court
For the Northern District of CaliforniaUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISIONOPTIMIZE TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS,
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

STAPLES, INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.

Case No. 14-mc-80095

SCHEDULING ORDER

[Re Docket Nos. 1, 12]

There are two motions currently pending before the court arising out of subpoenas issued by plaintiff Optimize Technology Solutions, LLC (“Optimize”) to non-party Adobe Systems Inc. (“Adobe”). *See* Dkt. No. 1 (Motion to Quash and Motion for Protective Order); Dkt. No. 12 (Motion to Compel). Neither motion was noticed with a hearing date as required by Local Rule 7-2. The court is advised that fact discovery in the underlying case closes April 16, 2014. Accordingly, in an effort to resolve these issues prior to the close of discovery, the court *sua sponte* sets the following schedule:

- Adobe may file a response to the Motion to Compel, Dkt. No. 12, by April 14, 2014 at 10 a.m.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- The court will not consider any replies to the Motion to Quash, Dkt. No. 1, or the Motion to Compel.
- The court will hear argument on both Motions on April 15, 2014 at 10 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 10, 2014



Howard R. Lloyd
United States Magistrate Judge