United States District Court Northern District of California

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	MEENA ARTHUR DATTA,	Case No. 15-CV-00188-LHK	
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING RENEWED JOINT MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY	
14	V.	APPROVAL	
15	ASSET RECOVERY SOLUTIONS, LLC,	Re: Dkt. No. 110	
16	Defendant.		
17			
18	Plaintiff Meena Arthur Datta ("Plaintiff") brings this action against Defendant Asset		
19	Recovery Solutions, LLC ("Defendant"). ¹ Before the Court is the parties' Renewed Joint Motion		
20	for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. ECF No. 128 ("Mot."); ECF No. 128-2		
21	("Settlement Agreement"). Along with the renewed joint motion for preliminary approval, the		
22	parties filed a proposed Notice to be distributed to Class Members. ECF No. 128-3. The Court		
23	held a Preliminary Approval Hearing on January 12, 2017. During the Preliminary Approval		
24	Hearing, the Court proposed a number of sugges	sted changes to the proposed Notice, to which the	
25			
26	¹ Plaintiff filed her initial complaint against Asset Recovery Solutions, LLC and Oliphant Financial, LLC. Oliphant Financial, LLC was dismissed from this action pursuant to a stipulation of dismissal on March 9, 2015. ECF No. 22. 1 Case No. 15-CV-00188-LHK		
27			
28			
	ORDER GRANTING RENEWED JOINT MOTION FOR		
		Dockets.Justia.com	

parties agreed. Accordingly, these changes have been incorporated into the amended long-form notice and amended postcard notice accompanying this Order (collectively, "Amended Notice").
ECF No. 133-1 (red-lined copy of Amended Long-Form Notice); ECF No. 133-2 (red-lined copy of Amended Postcard Notice); ECF No. 133-3 (clean copy of Amended Long-Form Notice); ECF No. 133-4 (clean copy of Amended Postcard Notice).

Having considered the renewed joint motion for preliminary approval, the record in this case, and the statements made at the Preliminary Approval Hearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

On March 18, 2016, this Court certified the following Settlement Class pursuant to Fed.
 R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3): (i) all persons with addresses in California, (ii) to whom Defendant sent, or caused to be sent, a collection letter in the form of Exhibit "1" in an envelope in the form of Exhibit "2," (iii) in an attempt to collect an alleged debt originally owed to HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A., (iv) which was incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, (v) which were not returned as undeliverable by the U.S. Post Office, (vi) during the period one year prior to the date of filing this action through the date of class certification. ECF No. 66.

2. The Court previously found: (A) the class as defined is sufficiently numerous such that joinder is impracticable; (B) common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members, and include whether or not Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, ("RFDCPA"), Cal. Civil Code § 1788.17 as alleged in the Complaint; (C) the claims of Plaintiff are typical of the Class Members' claims; (D) Plaintiff is an appropriate and adequate representative of the Class and her attorneys were appointed as Class Counsel; and (E) a class action is the superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of the Class Members.

3. The Court finds that the proposed settlement is within the range of fairness and reasonableness and grants preliminary approval. In the event that the proposed settlement is not finally approved for any reason, Defendant shall, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, retain its

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

right to contest the claims of the Class.

4. The Court proposed revisions to the Notice at the Preliminary Approval Hearing, to which the parties agreed. The Court approves the Amended Notice, and directs that the Amended Notice be disseminated in accordance with the Settlement Agreement. *See* ECF No. 133-1 (redlined copy of Amended Long-Form Notice); ECF No. 133-2 (red-lined copy of Amended Postcard Notice); ECF No. 133-3 (clean copy of Amended Long-Form Notice); ECF No. 133-4 (clean copy of Amended Postcard Notice).

5. The Court finds that Amended Notice is the only notice to the Class Members that is required and further finds that such notice satisfies the requirements of due process and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.

6. Class Members shall have seventy-five (75) days from the date of this Order to opt out or object to the proposed Settlement Agreement.

7. Any Class Member who excludes himself or herself from the Class shall not be bound by the Settlement Agreement and shall not be entitled to any of its benefits. To be timely, a request for exclusion must be sent to the Class Administrator, IYLM Group, Inc. (address for which will be provided by notice to the class) within seventy-five (75) days from the date of this Order.

8. The request for exclusion must contain the excluded Class Member's name, address, telephone number, and signature.

9. Any Class Member who objects to the settlement shall have a right to appear and be heard at the Final Approval Hearing provided that such Class Member mails to the Class
Administrator a written objection postmarked no later than seventy-five (75) days from the date of this Order. Class Counsel and Defendant's counsel shall respond to the objections, if any, by means of a memorandum of law no later than seven (7) days prior to the Final Approval Hearing.

10. A Final Approval Hearing on the fairness and reasonableness of the Settlement Agreement will be held before this Court on April 27, 2017 at 1:30 p.m.

11. The schedule by which the events referenced above shall occur as follows:

28 Case No. 15-CV-00188-LHK ORDER GRANTING RENEWED JOINT MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

1		
2	Event	Date
3	Notice Disseminated to Class Members	February 9, 2017
4	Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Service Awards; Motion for Final Approval	March 11, 2017
5 6	Deadline to Object or Opt Out	March 28, 2017
7	Replies in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Service Awards; Claims	April 20, 2017
8	Administrator Affidavit of Compliance with Notice Requirements	
9 10	Final Approval Hearing	April 27, 2017, at 1:30 p.m.

United States District Court Northern District of California 12. All parties are ordered to comply with the terms of the Amended Settlement

Agreement.

13. Jurisdiction by this Court is retained over this litigation and the parties to this litigation, and each of the Class Members for all matters relating to this litigation, the Amended Settlement Agreement, including (without limitation) all matters relating to the administration, interpretation, effectuation, and/or enforcement of the Amended Settlement Agreement and this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 13, 2017

cy H. Koh

LUCY H**P**KOH United States District Judge