## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

IT NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

KASEYA US SALES, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-00784-BLF

ORDER TO COMPLY WITH FRCP 41(a)

[Re: ECF 30]

On February 20, 2015, this case was transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. On June 5, 2015, the parties advised the Court that they had agreed to a settlement in principle. See ECF No. 24. On July 9, 2015, Counsel for Defendant advised the Court that Plaintiff's Counsel is not admitted to practice before this Court and Plaintiff has not secured any other counsel admitted to practice before this Court, has failed to cooperate in a Rule 26(f) conference, has failed to meaningfully engage in the litigation or settlement process, and has failed to participate in the preparation of a joint case management statement. See ECF No. 28. On July 15, 2015, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause by August 12, 2015 why this case should not be dismissed for a failure to follow the local rules. Id. On August 11, 2015, Plaintiff filed a voluntary stipulation of dismissal without prejudice signed only by Darren Kayal, an attorney for Plaintiff who is not admitted to practice before this Court. See ECF No. 30.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A) allows Plaintiff to voluntary dismiss an action without a court order by filing a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment or by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. Since Defendant filed an answer on April 15, 2015, see ECF No. 23, Plaintiff must file a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. Furthermore,

## United States District Court Northern District of California