against all further foreclosure activity by defendants on the subject property. Id.

Upon consideration of plaintiff Urania Maria Lopez's ex parte application for a temporary restraining order and order to show cause, the court, having reviewed the complaint, pleadings, moving papers, and declarations submitted by plaintiff, and defendants' opposition papers makes the following preliminary findings:

1. The plaintiff is likely to succeed in demonstrating that defendant Quality Loan Servicing on behalf of Select Portfolio Servicing ("SPS") informed plaintiff on ORDER GRANTING TRO 15-cv-00933-RMW 1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

December 24, 2014 that the planned foreclosure sale set for March 2, 2015 would be put on hold pending the outcome of plaintiff's state court litigation against defendants, and that despite this assurance, she was recently informed on February 26, 2015 that defendant SPS unilaterally lifted the hold Quality Loan Servicing had placed on the foreclosure sale, and that the sale would proceed as scheduled. Dkt. No. 2, at 2, 4. Plaintiff's complaint seeks injunctive relief and damages for defendants' alleged violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788, et seq., and includes claims for Wrongful Foreclosure and Quiet Title. Dkt. No. 1. Plaintiff alleges in her complaint that the party seeking to enforce the subject loan (CWALT-Trust) failed to comply with a pooling and service agreement, which deprived CWALT-Trust of standing to enforce the subject loan. Dkt. No. 1, ¶¶ 26-36. Based on its review of the complaint, the court is not convinced that plaintiff has standing to enforce the pooling and service agreement, as she was not a party to the contract. Nevertheless, the court finds that plaintiff may have standing to challenge the foreclosure sale based on the alleged representations by Quality Loan Servicing that the sale would be postponed pending the outcome of plaintiff's state court action.

- An immediate and irreparable harm will occur to plaintiff as a result of the planned foreclosure sale of the subject property given Quality Loan Servicing's representation and SPS's subsequent unilateral decision to foreclose on the subject property; SPS will suffer little harm from the delay of the sale from the date of this order and the hearing on plaintiff's application for a preliminary injunction;
- 3. The harm to the plaintiff outweighs the harm to the legitimate interest of defendants resulting from the granting the application; and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. As the foreclosure sale's scheduled date has past, the court's decision on plaintiff's TRO application is unusually time-sensitive, and therefore this order is issued without advance notice beyond that which has been given to defendants.

Application having been made, and the court having made the above preliminary findings, the court enters the following order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b).

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that, pending a hearing on plaintiff's order to show cause regarding a preliminary injunction, plaintiff's application for a temporary restraining order is hereby GRANTED as follows:

Defendants shall immediately postpone the foreclosure sale which was set for March 2, 2015, at 1:30 p.m. at 168 W. Alisal Street, Salinas, CA 93901, if such sale has not already taken place.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Temporary Restraining Order shall remain in effect until the date for hearing on the Order to Show Cause set forth below, or such further date set by the court, unless defendants stipulate to or have not objected to the entry of a preliminary injunction. This Temporary Restraining Order is effective immediately upon plaintiff's filing with the court security in the amount of \$5,000 to cover SRS's costs and damages if it is later found to have been wrongfully enjoined or restrained. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c).

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

It is FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's application for an order to show cause regarding a preliminary injunction is hereby GRANTED as follows.

> 1. Defendants shall show cause before this court on March 13, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. why an order should not be entered granting plaintiff a preliminary injunction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65. In particular, the parties should be prepared to discuss at the hearing the court's concerns regarding: (1) whether plaintiff has standing to

If the sale has already occurred, the application for a TRO is moot. ORDER GRANTING TRO

- challenge the foreclosure sale; and (2) why plaintiff decided to forego her state court litigation in Monterey. The parties should each also be prepared to show what is owed on the subject loan and plaintiff should be prepared to show the factual basis for her failure to pay the amount owing.
- 2. Plaintiff must serve its moving papers and this order on defendants on or before 12:00 noon on March 5, 2015.
- 3. Defendants opposition papers regarding the order to show cause, if any, shall be filed with the Clerk of Court and personally served or delivered by Federal Express (or other overnight delivery) upon the attorney for plaintiff by delivering copies thereof to the Law Office of Gilbert E. Maines, located at 1320 Crooked Mile Court, Placerville, California, 95667, fax (520) 626-3562, on or before 12:00 noon, March 10, 2015; and
- 4. Plaintiff's reply papers regarding the preliminary injunction, if any, shall be filed with the Clerk of the Court and personally served or delivered by Federal Express (or other overnight delivery) on defendants' counsel, to be received on or before 12:00 noon, March 12, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5:00 p.m., March 3, 2015

Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Judge