1	Eric H. Gibbs (SBN 178658)		
2	Dylan Hughes (SBN 209113) Steve Lopez (SBN 300540)		
3	GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP		
4	One Kaiser Plaza, Suite 1125 Oakland, California 94612		
5	Telephone: (510) 350-9700		
	Facsimile: (510) 350-9701 ehg@classlawgroup.com		
6	dsh@classlawgroup.com		
7	sal@classlawgroup.com		
8	Joseph G. Sauder (<i>pro hac vice</i>)		
9	Matthew D. Schelkopf (<i>pro hac vice</i>) CHIMICLES & TIKELLIS LLP		
10	One Haverford Centre		
11	361 West Lancaster Avenue Haverford, Pennsylvania 19041		
12	Telephone: (610) 642-8500		
13	Facsimile: (610) 649-3633 JGS@chimicles.com		
14	MDS@chimicles.com		
15	Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel		
16	[List of Counsel Continued on Signature Page]]	
17	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
19	DAIN		
20	IN RE: HYUNDAI SONATA ENGINE LITIGATION	Case No. 5:15-cv-1685-BLF	
21		Hon. Beth Labson Freeman	
22		STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO	
23		FILE CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT AND CONTINUE INITIAL CASE	
24		MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
25		L.R. 7-12	
26			
27			
28			
20			
		SOL. COMPLAINT AND CONTINUE INITIAL CMC O. 5:15-cv-1685-BLF	
	CASE N	O. 5:15-cv-1685-BLF Dockets.Ju	

Plaintiffs Beth Graham and Elizabeth Mendoza, and Defendant Hyundai Motor America, who are the parties in the consolidated case pending before this Court, *In re: Hyundai Sonata Engine Litigation*, 5:15-cv-01685-BLF, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, this litigation arises out of allegations (denied by Defendant) that Hyundai manufactured, sold, and leased Sonata vehicles that have an engine defect that can cause sudden engine seizure;

WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff Mendoza filed *Mendoza v. Hyundai Motor Company, Ltd.*,
No. 5:15-cv-1685-BLF on April 14, 2015, and counsel for Plaintiff Graham filed *Graham v. Hyundai Motor America, Inc.*, No. 5:15-cv-2071-BLF, on May 7, 2015;

WHEREAS, on June 24, 2015, the Court issued Orders consolidating the two cases under the present master docket and master file in Case No. 5:15-cv-1685-BLF, ordering Plaintiffs to file a consolidated complaint, and continuing the Initial Case Management Conference until October 15, 2015;

WHEREAS, on August 20, 2015, the Court issued an Order extending Plaintiffs' time to file a consolidated complaint, currently due on October 8, 2015.

WHEREAS, on September 8, 2015, the Court issued an Order appointing Eric H. Gibbs and
David Stein of Gibbs Law Group LLP and Matthew D. Schelkopf and Joseph G. Sauder of Chimicles
& Tikellis LLP as Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel;

WHEREAS, since consolidation, counsel for the parties have met and conferred several times by telephone and in person, and have had several productive discussions regarding the allegations in the complaint, defenses to those allegations, scheduling, and potential resolution of this matter;

WHEREAS, on September 2, 2015, the parties conferred with legal and engineering
representatives from Hyundai and discussed a recall announced by the National Highway
Transportation Safety Agency and Hyundai on September 24, 2015, whose details are described in the
Safety Recall Report attached as Exhibit 1;

WHEREAS, the parties are currently scheduled to enter mediation on October 29, 2015, with the assistance of Hon. James P. Kleinberg (Ret.) of JAMS;

WHEREAS, the parties believe that they will make substantial progress in their discussions

1	regarding resolution of this action in mediation and believe it will be the most efficient and expeditious			
2	manner of advancing this litigation;			
3	WHEREAS, as a result, the parties seek to extend Plaintiffs' time to file a consolidated			
4	complaint and continue the Case Management Conference currently set for October 15, 2015.			
5	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE, subject to the Court's approval, that:			
6	1. Plaintiffs' time to file a cons	solidated complaint is extended until November 12, 2015;		
7	and			
8		ference set for October 15, 2015 shall be continued until		
0 9		cerence set for october 15, 2015 shan be continued until		
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
11				
12		GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP		
13		Dru /a/Eria II Cibba		
14		By: <u>/s/ Eric H. Gibbs</u>		
15		Eric H. Gibbs		
16		Dylan Hughes Steve Lopez		
17		One Kaiser Plaza, Suite 1125		
18		Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 350-9700		
		Facsimile: (510) 350-9701		
19		ehg@classlawgroup.com		
20		dsh@classlawgroup.com sal@classlawgroup.com		
21		Sui è chassia il groupicom		
22		Matthew D. Schelkopf		
23		Joseph G. Sauder CHIMICLES & TIKELLIS LLP		
		One Haverford Centre		
24		361 West Lancaster Avenue Haverford, Pennsylvania 19041		
25		Telephone: (610) 642-8500		
26		Facsimile: (610) 649-3633		
		JGS@chimicles.com MDS@chimicles.com		
27		MDS@chillicles.com		
28		Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel		
		2		
		NSOL. COMPLAINT AND CONTINUE INITIAL CMC NO. 5:15-cv-1685-BLF		

1	Database 1 2015	
2	Dated: October 1, 2015	By: / <u>s/ Shon Morgan</u>
3		Shon Morgan
4		Joseph R. Ashby QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
5		& SULLIVAN, LLP
		865 S. Figueroa St. 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017
6		Telephone: (213) 443-3252
7		Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 shonmorgan@quinnemanuel.com
8		josephashby@quinnemanuel.com
9 10		Counsel for Defendant Hyundai Motor America, Inc.
10		
		* * *
12		
13		ORDER
14		
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION I	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16		
17	Dated:	Beth Fally heeman
18		HÓNORABLE BETH L. FREEMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		3
		ONSOL. COMPLAINT AND CONTINUE INITIAL CMC
	CASE	E NO. 5:15-cv-1685-BLF

1	ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE		
2	I, Eric H. Gibbs, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file the foregoing		
3	Stipulation to Extend Time to File Consolidated Complaint and Continue Initial Case Management		
4	<i>Conference</i> . In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that the other signatories listed		
5	have concurred in this filing.		
6			
7	Dated: October 1, 2015By: /s/ Eric H. Gibbs		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4 STIP. TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE CONSOL. COMPLAINT AND CONTINUE INITIAL CMC		
	CASE NO. 5:15-cv-1685-BLF		

EXHIBIT 1

The information contained in this report was submitted pursuant to 49 CFR §573

Part 573 Safety Recall Report

Manufacturer Name : Hyundai Motor America Submission Date : SEP 10,2015 NHTSA Recall No. : 15V-568 Manufacturer Recall No. : 132

Manufacturer Information :

Manufacturer Name : Hyundai Motor America Address : 10550 Talbert Avenue Fountain Valley CA 92708 Company phone : 1-855-671-3059

Vehicle Information :

Vehicle : 2011-2012 Hyundai Sonata
Vehicle Type : LIGHT VEHICLES
Body Style :
Power Train : GAS
Descriptive Information : Model Year 2011 and 2012 Hyundai Sonata vehicles manufactured at Hyundai
Motor Manufacturing Alabama equipped with 2.0 liter and 2.4 liter Gasoline Direct injection engines.
Production Dates : DEC 11, 2009 - APR 12, 2012

End : NR

VIN (Vehicle Identification Number) Range

Begin	:	NR
-------	---	----

Description of Defect :





Number of potentially involved : 470,000

Not sequential VINs

Estimated percentage with defect : 2

Population :

15V-568

 Part 573 Safety Recall Report
 15V-568
 Page
 2

 Description of the Safety Risk : An engine stall at higher speeds can increase the risk of a crash.
 Description of the Cause : NR
 Identification of Any Warning that can Occur : 1)
 Knocking noise from engine
 2)
 Illumination of engine warning lamp
 2

 Supplier Identification :
 Su

Component Manufacturer Name : NR Address : NR NR Country : NR

Chronology :

The 2011 Hyundai Sonata was the first Hyundai vehicle to use an engine manufactured in Hyundai's Alabama engine factory. As is the case with any production process, revisions were made to the manufacturing processes. Of note, Hyundai initially used a mechanical deburring process to remove machining debris from the crankshaft. In April of 2012, Hyundai incorporated a high pressure "wet blast" process to remove metallic debris from the component.

As the subject vehicles gained field experience, Hyundai became aware of engine-related warranty claims in the field. The vast majority of those claims evidenced that customers were responding to substantial noise, or the vehicle's check engine light, and bringing their vehicles to service as a result of those warnings. Many customers also complained after the warranty was no longer available. In a relatively smaller number of instances, customers reported stalling events. However, the majority of those customers did not mention the speed at which the vehicle was moving at the time of the reported stalling event. These customers were also able to restart their vehicles and/ or move the vehicles to the side of the road.

In June, 2015, NHTSA raised the issue with Hyundai. Hyundai explained that, as of that time, it did not consider the issue to be safety-related due to the substantial warnings and the evidence that customers were responding to the warnings, among other reasons. Upon reviewing Hyundai's information, the Office of Defects Investigation informed Hyundai of its concern over the potential for higher speed stalling events. These discussions occurred throughout August, 2015. On September 2, 2015, this issue was discussed at HMA's Technical Committee meeting. At that time, Hyundai decided conduct the field action as a safety recall and to file this Defect Information Report.

To date, there have been no reports of accidents or injuries attributed to this condition.

Description of Remedy :

Description of Remedy Program : 1) Hyundai Motor America will notify owners of affected vehicles to return their vehicles to their Hyundai dealers to inspect, and if necessary, replace the engine assembly.

2) Hyundai Motor America will increase the warranty for the engine

The information contained in this report was submitted pursuant to 49 CFR §573

Part 573 Safety Recall Repo	15V-568	Page
	ably (short block) to 10 years/120,000 miles for both or nt owners of 2011 and 2012 Sonatas manufactured at H nufacturing Alabama equipped with 2.0 liter and 2.4 lite ection engines.	Iyundai
	rundai will provide reimbursement to owners for repair to the plan submitted on November 2, 2014.	rs
How Remedy Component Differs Identify How/ When Recall Condi	lled Component : NR prrected in Production : The cleaning process was revis 2012 to utilize a hydraulic pres blast" process to clean the cran passages.	ssure "wet
Recall Schedule : Description of Recall Schedule : N		
Planned Dealer Notification Date	2015 - NOV 09, 2015	
Planned Owner Notification Date	2015 - NOV 09, 2015	

* NR - Not Reported

The information contained in this report was submitted pursuant to 49 CFR §573