

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARK FEATHERS,
Plaintiff,
v.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant.

Case No. [5:15-cv-02194-PSG](#)

JUDGMENT

The court has granted Defendant United States of America’s motion to dismiss.¹ Because further amendment would be futile, leave to amend is denied.² The Clerk shall close the file.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 2, 2015


PAUL S. GREWAL
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ See Docket No. 47.

² See *id.* at 6; *Carolina Cas. Ins. Co. v. Team Equip., Inc.*, 741 F.3d 1082, 1086 (9th Cir. 2014) (“A complaint should not be dismissed without leave to amend unless amendment would be futile.” (citing *McQuillion v. Schwarzenegger*, 369 F.3d 1091, 1099 (9th Cir. 2004))).