

[Counsel Listed on Signature Block]

2 MCU CLOCKING SOLUTIONS, INC., 3 4 Plaintiff, 5 v. 6 ATMEL CORPORATION, 7 Defendant.	Case No. 5:15-cv-02212-PSG
8 MCU CLOCKING SOLUTIONS, INC., 9 Plaintiff, 10 v. 11 FREESCALE SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., 12 Defendant.	Case No. 5:15-cv-02213-PSG
13 MCU CLOCKING SOLUTIONS, INC., 14 Plaintiff, 15 v. 16 MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY, INC.	Case No. 5:15-cv-02546-PSG

16 **JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER CASES RELATED AND TO**
 17 **SCHEDULE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE FOR AUGUST 11, 2015**

18 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12, the parties in Case Nos. 5:15-cv-02212-PSG, 5:15-cv-02213-
 19 PSG, and 5:15-cv-02546-PSG (“Proposed Related Actions”) submit this joint administrative motion
 20 to consider these cases related to each other. The parties further propose, pursuant to Civil Local
 21 Rule 6-2(a), that the Case Management Conferences in all three Proposed Related Actions be
 22 scheduled for August 11, 2015.

23
 24 **PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

25 All three Proposed Related Actions were recently transferred by stipulation to the United
 26 States District Court for the Northern District of California from the United States District Court for
 27 the District of Delaware, and have all been assigned to Magistrate Judge Paul Singh Grewal. The
 28

JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER CASES RELATED AND TO SCHEDULE INITIAL CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE FOR AUGUST 11, 2015
 CASE NO. 5:15-cv-02212-PSG

1 Plaintiff in all three Proposed Related Actions is MCU Clocking Solutions, Inc. (“MCU”), and all
2 three actions involve U.S. Patent No. 6,292,045. Two of the three Proposed Related Actions, Case
3 Nos. 5:15-cv-02213-PSG and 5:15-cv-02546-PSG, also involve U.S. Patent No. 7,296,170. The Case
4 Management Conferences in Case Nos. 5:15-cv-02212 and 5:15-cv-02213 are currently both
5 scheduled for June 30, 2015, whereas the Case Management Conference in Case No. 5:15-cv-02546
6 has not yet been scheduled.
7

8 **BRIEF STATEMENT OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CASES**

9 The Proposed Related Actions meet the criteria for related cases under Civil L.R. 3-12. MCU
10 alleges that the named Defendants in each of the actions infringe one or both asserted patents by
11 making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing microcontrollers that embody every
12 element of at least one Claim of either or both of the asserted patents. Discovery, motion practice,
13 and hearings, therefore, are likely to concern many of the same issues. Furthermore, the parties
14 believe that proceeding with uncoordinated cases before separate judges would cause an undue
15 burdensome duplication of labor and expense. While the parties recognize that there may be separate
16 trials in each of the three separate cases, respectively, they believe coordination of pre-trial matters
17 will be beneficial.
18
19

20 Additionally, the parties believe that a single Case Management Conference for all three
21 Proposed Related Actions would avoid a needless duplication of effort and expense by the parties,
22 and would also serve the interests of judicial economy by allowing the Court to conduct a single Case
23 Management Conference rather than multiple conferences.
24

25 **CONCLUSION**

26 For the reasons stated above, the parties respectfully request that the Proposed Related
27 Actions all be deemed to be related to each other. The parties further propose that, pursuant to Civil
28

1 Local Rule 6-2(a), a single Case Management Conference for all three Proposed Related Actions be
2 scheduled for August 11, 2015, with the parties filing a Joint Case Management Statement by August
3 4, 2015.
4

5
6 Dated: June 17, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

7 By: /s/ Vasilios E. Sanios
8 Edward C. Flynn (*pro hac vice*)
9 Vasilios E. Sanios (*pro hac vice*)
10 COHEN & GRACE, LLC
11 105 Braunlich Drive, Suite 300
12 Pittsburgh, PA 15237
13 Tel: (412) 847-0300
14 Facsimile: (412) 847-0307
15 Email: eflynn@cohengrace.com
16 vsanios@cohengrace.com

17 Christopher D. Banys (State Bar No.230038)
18 Christopher J. Judge (State Bar No. 230038)
19 Jennifer Lu Gilbert (State Bar No. 255820)
20 BANYS, P.C.
21 1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100
22 Palo Alto, California 94303
23 Telephone: (650) 308-8505
24 Facsimile: (650) 353-2202
25 Email: cdb@banyspc.com
26 cjj@banyspc.com
27 jlg@banyspc.com

28
**Attorneys for Plaintiff,
MCU CLOCKING SOLUTIONS, INC.**

By: /s/ Ahren C. Hsu-Hoffman
Michael Lyons (State Bar No. 202284)
Ahren C. Hsu-Hoffman (State Bar No. 250469)
Walter Scott Tester (State Bar No. 287228)
MORGAN, LEWIS, & BOCKIUS LLP
2 Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700
Palo Alto, CA 94306
Tel: (650) 843-4000

1 Facsimile: (650) 843-4001
2 Email: mlyons@morganlewis.com
3 ahsu-hoffman@morganlewis.com
4 stester@morganlewis.com

5 **Attorneys for Defendant,**
6 **ATMEL CORPORATION**

7 By: /s/ Thomas W. Ritchie
8 David L. Witcoff (*pro hac vice*)
9 Timothy J. Heverin (*pro hac vice*)
10 Thomas W. Ritchie (*pro hac vice*)
11 JONES DAY
12 77 W. Wacker Drive, Suite 3500
13 Chicago, IL 60601-1692
14 Tel: (312) 782-3939
15 Fax: (312) 782-8585
16 Email: dlwitcoff@jonesday.com
17 tjheverin@jonesday.com
18 twritchie@jonesday.com

19 Patrick T. Michael (State Bar No. 169745)
20 JONES DAY
21 555 California Street, 26th Floor
22 San Francisco, CA 94104
23 Tel: (415) 875-5893
24 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700
25 Email: pmichael@jonesday.com

26 **Attorneys for Defendant,**
27 **FREESCALE SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.**

28 By: /s/ Brian C. Banner
Brian C. Banner (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Bruce W. Slayden, II (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
SLAYDEN GRUBERT BEARD PLLC
823 Congress Avenue, Suite 525
Austin, TX 78701
Tel: (512) 402-3550
Facsimile: (512) 402-6865
Email: bbanner@sgb-ip.com
bslayden@sgb-ip.com

1 Ryan R. Smith (State Bar No. 229323)
2 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
3 650 Page Mill Road
4 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
5 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
6 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
7 Email: rsmith@wsgr.com

8 **Attorneys for Defendant,**
9 **MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY, INC.**


10 **ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN FILING**

11 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this
12 document has been obtained from each of the Signatories listed above.

13 */s/ Vasilios E. Sanios*
14 Vasilios E. Sanios

1 PURSUANT TO THE JOINT MOTION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS SO ORDERED.

2
3
4 Date: June 18, 2015


5 Hon. Paul S. Grewal
6 United States District Court Magistrate Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 I, Vasilios E. Sanios, Esquire, hereby certify that on the 17th day of June, 2015, I served the
3 above JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER CASES RELATED AND TO
4 SCHEDULE THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE FOR AUGUST 11, 2015, via
5 email, to the following addresses:
6

7 Michael Lyons
8 Ahren C. Hsu-Hoffman
9 Walter Scott Tester
10 mlyons@morganlewis.com
11 ahsu-hoffman@morganlewis.com
12 stester@morganlewis.com

13 *Attorneys for Defendant,*
14 ATMEL CORPORATION

15 David L. Witcoff (*pro hac vice*)
16 Timothy J. Heverin (*pro hac vice*)
17 Thomas W. Ritchie (*pro hac vice*)
18 Patrick T. Michael
19 dlwitcoff@jonesday.com
20 tjheverin@jonesday.com
21 twritchie@jonesday.com
22 pmichael@jonesday.com

23 *Attorneys for Defendant,*
24 FREESCALE SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.

Brian C. Banner (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Bruce W. Slayden , II (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Ryan R. Smith
bbanner@sbg-ip.com
bslayden@sbg-ip.com
rsmith@wsgr.com

Attorneys for Defendant,
MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY, INC.

25 By: /s/ Vasilios E. Sanios
26 Vasilios E. Sanios

27 *Attorney for Plaintiff,*
28 MCU CLOCKING SOLUTIONS, INC.